```
1
00:00:00,000 --> 00:00:03,417
(light electronic music)
2
00:00:09,610 --> 00:00:10,520
- Hi, everyone.
3
00:00:10,520 --> 00:00:13,450
Welcome to Conversations at the Perimeter.
4
00:00:13,450 --> 00:00:16,190
Today, Colin and I are
so excited to bring you
5
00:00:16,190 --> 00:00:18,940
this conversation with Carlo Rovelli.
6
00:00:18,940 --> 00:00:20,960
Carlo is a theoretical physicist,
7
00:00:20,960 --> 00:00:24,130
with expertise in both
physics and philosophy,
8
00:00:24,130 --> 00:00:27,120
and his research interests
include loop quantum gravity,
9
00:00:27,120 --> 00:00:28,230
the nature of time,
10
00:00:28,230 --> 00:00:31,210
and the relational
interpretation of quantum theory.
1 1
00:00:31,210 --> 00:00:33,230
- And Carlo is also a bestselling author
12
00:00:33,230 --> 00:00:34,720
```

of popular science books.
13
00:00:34,720 --> 00:00:37,090
His "Seven Brief Lessons on Physics"
14
00:00:37,090 --> 00:00:40,340
was a breakout success, sold more than a million copies,

15
00:00:40,340 --> 00:00:43,010
has been translated into
more than 40 languages.
16
00:00:43,010 --> 00:00:44,340 I've been a fan for years,

17
00:00:44,340 --> 00:00:46,180
so it was just a thrill for me to talk to him,

18
00:00:46,180 --> 00:00:47,500 not just about his research,

19
00:00:47,500 --> 00:00:50,020
but how and why he translates
20
00:00:50,020 --> 00:00:52,560
these difficult scientific
topics into concepts
21
00:00:52,560 --> 00:00:54,110
that people like me can understand.
22
00:00:54,110 --> 00:00:55,900

- He also has some other great books

23
00:00:55,900 --> 00:00:58,270
called "Reality Is Not What It Seems",

```
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00:00:58,270 --> 00:01:00,240
there's also "The Order of Time",
25
00:01:00,240 --> 00:01:03,950
and "Helgoland: Making Sense
of the Quantum Revolution".
26
00:01:03,950 --> 00:01:05,990
And he has another new book coming out
27
00:01:05,990 --> 00:01:08,470
called "There are Places
in the World Where Rules
28
00:01:08,470 --> 00:01:10,390
Are Less Important than Kindness:
29
00:01:10,390 --> 00:01:13,227
And Other Thoughts on Physics,
Philosophy, and The World",
30
00:01:13,227 --> 00:01:15,610
and I have to say, I just love the title
31
00:01:15,610 --> 00:01:17,730
of this upcoming book, and
I can't wait to read it.
32
00:01:17,730 --> 00:01:20,180
- And I loved this conversation
that we had with Carlos,
33
00:01:20,180 --> 00:01:21,504
so let's have a listen.
34
00:01:21,504 --> 00:01:25,550
(light electronic music)
35
```

```
00:01:25,550 --> 00:01:28,650
Thank you for joining us,
it's lovely to have you here.
36
00:01:28,650 --> 00:01:31,300
- Thank you, it's wonderful
finally being back
37
00:01:31,300 --> 00:01:33,900
at the PI after this long absence.
38
00:01:33,900 --> 00:01:36,880
- I was struggling with
ways to introduce you
39
00:01:36,880 --> 00:01:38,567
to our audience because
there are so many things,
4 0
00:01:38,567 --> 00:01:41,050
you know, theoretical
physicist, and author,
4 1
00:01:41,050 --> 00:01:42,570
and world traveler, and philosopher,
4 2
00:01:42,570 --> 00:01:44,480
so I decided I didn't
want to introduce you.
4 3
00:01:44,480 --> 00:01:46,590
Pretend I'm a stranger on a
plane and I've just sat down
4 4
00:01:46,590 --> 00:01:48,127
next to you, and I've
buckled up, and I say,
4 5
00:01:48,127 --> 00:01:49,750
"Hey, what do you do for a living?"
```

46
00:01:49,750 --> 00:01:52,130

- I would say, "Hi, my name is Carlo.

47
00:01:52,130 --> 00:01:56,057
I was born in Italy, and I go around and talk with people.

48
00:01:56,057 --> 00:01:58,320
I have ideas, I try to do them.
49
00:01:58,320 --> 00:01:59,262
What about you?"
50
00:01:59,262 --> 00:02:01,350

- (laughs) I talk to people like you,

51
00:02:01,350 --> 00:02:03,190
trying to figure out what they do, so it's a good thing

52
00:02:03,190 --> 00:02:05,760
we sat next to each other on this plane.
53
00:02:05,760 --> 00:02:08,290
You didn't mention theoretical physics.
54
00:02:08,290 --> 00:02:10,330
Is that because what you consider
55
00:02:10,330 --> 00:02:12,560
yourself doing is more
just talking to people
56
00:02:12,560 --> 00:02:14,320
about a variety of subjects?

- No, if you have

```
00:02:14,320 --> 00:02:16,740
to define me, what I am primarily,
58
00:02:16,740 --> 00:02:18,670
I'm definitely a theoretical physicist.
59
00:02:18,670 --> 00:02:21,160
The rest of the things that
I do are part motivating,
6 0
00:02:21,160 --> 00:02:22,440
part around that.
6 1
00:02:22,440 --> 00:02:24,450
My competency, if I have any,
6 2
00:02:24,450 --> 00:02:26,120
it's in theoretical physics.
6 3
00:02:26,120 --> 00:02:29,120
I don't like to define myself,
even in front of myself.
6 4
00:02:29,120 --> 00:02:31,000
I like to keep things open.
6 5
00:02:31,000 --> 00:02:34,020
And when I started writing
books for the large public,
6 6
00:02:34,020 --> 00:02:35,757
there was a moment in
which I was telling myself,
6 7
00:02:35,757 --> 00:02:38,600
"Wait a moment, are you
just turning into a writer
6 8
00:02:38,600 --> 00:02:40,580
```

```
instead of a scientist,"
```

69
00:02:40,580 --> 00:02:44,300
and then I realized that this is a meaningless question.

70
00:02:44,300 --> 00:02:47,570
I'm just doing what I'm doing, and things are connected.

71
00:02:47,570 --> 00:02:50,450
The only meaningful question
is how many hours I devote
72
00:02:50,450 --> 00:02:52,260
for one and the other, that might be it.
73
00:02:52,260 --> 00:02:54,630

- I ask partly because you're currently,

74
00:02:54,630 --> 00:02:56,580
you have a position in London, Ontario,
75
00:02:56,580 --> 00:02:58,920
at the Rotman School of Philosophy,
76
00:02:58,920 --> 00:03:01,300
which seems, at least on the surface,
77
00:03:01,300 --> 00:03:04,297
to be a strange place for a theoretical physicist to be.

78
00:03:04,297 --> 00:03:07,481
Can you explain what you're doing at a school of philosophy?

79
00:03:07,481 --> 00:03:09,910

- (chuckles) I talk with philosophers.

```
80
00:03:09,910 --> 00:03:11,680
I love to talk with philosophers
81
00:03:11,680 --> 00:03:14,220
because I think it's useful for physics.
82
00:03:14,220 --> 00:03:17,630
I have this convention since a long time.
83
00:03:17,630 --> 00:03:20,060
I've been interested in
philosophy since I was a kid.
84
00:03:20,060 --> 00:03:21,840
When I was a student studying physics,
85
00:03:21,840 --> 00:03:24,290
I also continued to study philosophy,
86
00:03:24,290 --> 00:03:28,650
and I think that a clear-cut
separation is a bit artificial
87
00:03:28,650 --> 00:03:31,730
and is damaging for both disciplines.
88
00:03:31,730 --> 00:03:33,020
- How so?
89
00:03:33,020 --> 00:03:36,100
- Not all physics needs
to talk with philosophy.
90
00:03:36,100 --> 00:03:38,400
If you have to solve
the Maxwell's equations
91
```

```
00:03:38,400 --> 00:03:41,470
for a certain antenna, you just
happily ignore philosophers,
92
00:03:41,470 --> 00:03:43,890
and a lot of physics is just concrete,
93
00:03:43,890 --> 00:03:45,560
specific problems.
- Right.
94
00:03:45,560 --> 00:03:47,580
- But, of course, there's a part of physics which is not
```


## 95

```
00:03:47,580 --> 00:03:51,430 to apply knowledge that we have for solving problems,
96
00:03:51,430 --> 00:03:55,254
it's to find out what is the knowledge we have at the basis,
97
00:03:55,254 --> 00:03:57,550
and that part, it's the kind of things that, you know,
98
00:03:57,550 --> 00:04:00,320
Einstein, Maxwell, or Newton, or Galileo,
99
00:04:00,320 --> 00:04:02,970
or Boltzmann were doing, or Heisenberg,
100
00:04:02,970 --> 00:04:05,930
and that kind of activity, traditionally,
101
00:04:05,930 --> 00:04:08,630
was done by people who were schooled in philosophy.
```

102
00:04:08,630 --> 00:04:11,940
I mean, Einstein had a deep
knowledge of philosophy,
103
00:04:11,940 --> 00:04:14,330
and so Heisenberg, and certainly Newton.
104
00:04:14,330 --> 00:04:17,510
Galileo, he was an avid
reader of Aristotle.
105
00:04:17,510 --> 00:04:19,490
There's a mistake in the understanding
106
00:04:19,490 --> 00:04:21,190
of physics, and science in general.
107
00:04:21,190 --> 00:04:23,050
Science is just collecting data
108
00:04:23,050 --> 00:04:25,410
and writing equations
that predict this data.
109
00:04:25,410 --> 00:04:27,960
I mean, that's just a little part of it.
110
00:04:27,960 --> 00:04:31,830
The largest part of it is figuring out
111
00:04:31,830 --> 00:04:35,590
a set of notions, concepts,
a conceptual structure,
112
00:04:35,590 --> 00:04:37,200
how to think about that.
113
00:04:37,200 --> 00:04:38,810

```
When you go from, you know,
114
00:04:38,810 --> 00:04:41,230
the Ptolemaic to the Copernican system,
115
00:04:41,230 --> 00:04:43,880
you don't just collect data,
you rearrange the order
116
00:04:43,880 --> 00:04:45,220
of the world in a different way.
117
00:04:45,220 --> 00:04:46,053
That's what Einstein did,
118
00:04:46,053 --> 00:04:47,130
that's what Maxwell and Faraday did,
119
00:04:47,130 --> 00:04:50,470
that's what Heisenberg did,
and Boltzmann, and so on.
120
00:04:50,470 --> 00:04:54,910
So, the core problems in
fundamental physics today,
121
00:04:54,910 --> 00:04:57,140
like quantum gravity, the
problem in which I am,
122
00:04:57,140 --> 00:05:00,330
do require the same kind of rethinking
123
00:05:00,330 --> 00:05:02,240
the conceptual basis of a discipline,
124
00:05:02,240 --> 00:05:03,850
and the philosophers
are very good in that,
```

125
00:05:03,850 --> 00:05:06,520
not because they solve
the problem of physics.
126
00:05:06,520 --> 00:05:08,043
I mean, relativity was found by Einstein,
127
00:05:08,043 --> 00:05:10,600
who was a physicist, but he's
a physicist who was listening
128
00:05:10,600 --> 00:05:12,750
to what the philosophers were saying.
129
00:05:12,750 --> 00:05:15,810
Philosophy has a capacity
of critical thinking,
130
00:05:15,810 --> 00:05:19,580
which is very deep, has imagination.
131
00:05:19,580 --> 00:05:21,110
Philosophers come out with
completely different ways
132
00:05:21,110 --> 00:05:23,560
of thinking about
reality, sometimes crazy,
133
00:05:23,560 --> 00:05:25,270
and we don't care about them, but that's not the point,

134
00:05:25,270 --> 00:05:27,070
sometimes very useful.

- Sometimes I think

135
00:05:27,070 --> 00:05:29,220

```
theoretical physicists come
up with ideas of reality
136
00:05:29,220 --> 00:05:30,900
that strike me as crazy too.
137
00:05:30,900 --> 00:05:33,231
- Sometimes they do, sometimes
even perhaps too much crazy,
138
00:05:33,231 --> 00:05:35,127
and they should listen
to philosophers who say,
1 3 9
00:05:35,127 --> 00:05:37,250
"Wait, come on, don't exaggerate, guys."
140
00:05:37,250 --> 00:05:40,110
- Are there certain open
questions in physics
141
00:05:40,110 --> 00:05:41,870
that you think would most benefit
142
00:05:41,870 --> 00:05:44,033
from input from philosophers?
143
00:05:45,000 --> 00:05:47,500
- Yes, and they change, of course,
144
00:05:47,500 --> 00:05:51,190
because physics is a
process, and right now,
145
00:05:51,190 --> 00:05:54,840
the number of open questions
where philosophical thinking
146
00:05:54,840 --> 00:05:56,880
```

```
and philosophical clarity is useful,
147
00:05:56,880 --> 00:05:59,650
some of them, for instance,
have to do with time.
148
00:05:59,650 --> 00:06:01,830
In trying to write a
quantum theory of gravity,
149
00:06:01,830 --> 00:06:03,670
of course, space and time have to change
150
00:06:03,670 --> 00:06:05,540
because now we're looking
at the quantum property
151
00:06:05,540 --> 00:06:06,560
of space and time.
152
00:06:06,560 --> 00:06:11,016
We have so many prejudices about how space
153
00:06:11,016 --> 00:06:13,470
should be and how time should be.
154
00:06:13,470 --> 00:06:17,220
A careful philosophical
analysis of what we know,
155
00:06:17,220 --> 00:06:21,690
I find it useful, even in simple things.
156
00:06:21,690 --> 00:06:24,490
One very well-known problem about time
157
00:06:24,490 --> 00:06:27,430
is that the past is
different from the future,
```

158
00:06:27,430 --> 00:06:28,970
and so, what's the root
159
00:06:28,970 --> 00:06:31,010
of this difference
between past and future?
160
00:06:31,010 --> 00:06:34,550
Is that something intrinsic
in time itself, or not?
161
00:06:34,550 --> 00:06:36,560
And the answer is not just academic.
162
00:06:36,560 --> 00:06:37,700 Well, yeah, it is academic,

163
00:06:37,700 --> 00:06:40,590
but (chuckles) the question is important
164
00:06:40,590 --> 00:06:41,990
because if we want to understand more,
165
00:06:41,990 --> 00:06:44,060
understand how quantum gravity works,
166
00:06:44,060 --> 00:06:46,400
we have to get clarity about these things.
167
00:06:46,400 --> 00:06:49,380
The nature of observer is another one.
168
00:06:49,380 --> 00:06:52,260
We now are in this funny situation with quantum mechanics,

169
00:06:52,260 --> 00:06:53,910
which is a fantastically good theory,
170
00:06:53,910 --> 00:06:57,010
but it's formulated in
terms of an observer.
171
00:06:57,010 --> 00:06:57,843
So, why?
172
00:06:57,843 --> 00:07:00,170
Do we need a guy with a PhD in physics
173
00:07:00,170 --> 00:07:02,010
to understand how the things work?
174
00:07:02,010 --> 00:07:04,580
No, I mean, things work by themself, without an observer, 175
00:07:04,580 --> 00:07:05,787
so how to make sense of that,
176
00:07:05,787 --> 00:07:08,040
and these are questions that physicists
177
00:07:08,040 --> 00:07:10,170
have been struggling with, and they are,
178
00:07:10,170 --> 00:07:11,630
and they will come up with a solution.
179
00:07:11,630 --> 00:07:14,170
I think solutions are being debated around the table.

180
00:07:14,170 --> 00:07:16,580
Philosophers can listen, contribute,
181

```
00:07:16,580 --> 00:07:18,380
and provide perspective.
182
00:07:18,380 --> 00:07:19,387
- I have your book here,
183
00:07:19,387 --> 00:07:22,330
"Reality Is Not What It
Seems", about quantum gravity,
184
00:07:22,330 --> 00:07:23,990
and we'll get more into quantum gravity,
185
00:07:23,990 --> 00:07:28,140
but what struck me most was
the book essentially begins
186
00:07:28,140 --> 00:07:29,220
with the ancient Greeks.
187
00:07:29,220 --> 00:07:30,820
Quantum gravity and loop quantum gravity
188
00:07:30,820 --> 00:07:32,640
are relatively new fields of physics,
189
00:07:32,640 --> 00:07:35,220
but to explain them, you
went back thousands of years.
190
00:07:35,220 --> 00:07:38,070
What inspired you to go so far back
191
00:07:38,070 --> 00:07:40,483
to bring us to the present
of quantum gravity?
192
00:07:41,577 --> 00:07:43,030
- "Reality Is Not What It Seems",
```

193
00:07:43,030 --> 00:07:44,650
it's actually the first popular book
194
00:07:44,650 --> 00:07:45,980
that I wrote about science.
195
00:07:45,980 --> 00:07:50,697
I wrote it quite late, after so many people had told me,

196
00:07:50,697 --> 00:07:53,240
"Why don't you write a popular book about quantum gravity?"

197
00:07:53,240 --> 00:07:55,680 It was at least 20 years that people were telling me that,

198
00:07:55,680 --> 00:07:57,537
and pushing, and some publishers also,
199
00:07:57,537 --> 00:07:59,850
like, "Come on, Carlo, you have
200
00:07:59,850 --> 00:08:01,610
this beautiful science, why
don't you write about that?"
201
00:08:01,610 --> 00:08:04,250
Also because people were sick and tired with string theory,

202
00:08:04,250 --> 00:08:06,150
I mean, just can't stand string theory anymore,

203
00:08:06,150 --> 00:08:08,120
so let's do some good quantum gravity.

204
00:08:08,120 --> 00:08:09,640
But I didn't know how to do it,
205
00:08:09,640 --> 00:08:13,030
because how do you tell quantum gravity to people, right?

206
00:08:13,030 --> 00:08:14,787
I mean, you have to
digest general relativity,
207
00:08:14,787 --> 00:08:18,660
you have to digest quantum
mechanics, so it's a long story.
208
00:08:18,660 --> 00:08:20,897
For long, I hesitated because
I wanted to do physics
209
00:08:20,897 --> 00:08:22,560
and not waste time writing.
210
00:08:22,560 --> 00:08:24,860
Then I started considering the idea,
211
00:08:24,860 --> 00:08:27,050
but I couldn't find the right way,
212
00:08:27,050 --> 00:08:28,920
and then there was a flash.
213
00:08:28,920 --> 00:08:31,730
I had so many things to do, but I couldn't organize them.

214
00:08:31,730 --> 00:08:33,950
I mentioned, in one of the prefaces of my books,

215
00:08:33,950 --> 00:08:35,490
and I don't remember which edition,
216
00:08:35,490 --> 00:08:38,150
I was driving from Italy to France,

## 217

00:08:38,150 --> 00:08:41,320 where I had moved at the time, in the middle of the night.

218
00:08:41,320 --> 00:08:43,257
And then I said, "Well, I need to explain this concept,

219
00:08:43,257 --> 00:08:44,690
I need to explain this concept,
220
00:08:44,690 --> 00:08:45,920
I need to explain this concept."
221
00:08:45,920 --> 00:08:47,240
I need to tell the reader
222
00:08:47,240 --> 00:08:48,983
what is a field, an electric field.
223
00:08:48,983 --> 00:08:50,160
It's not clear.
224
00:08:50,160 --> 00:08:52,640
I need to tell the reader what exactly we mean by geometry.

225
00:08:52,640 --> 00:08:53,473 It's subtle.

226
00:08:53,473 --> 00:08:55,800

I need to tell the reader that particles

## 227

00:08:55,800 --> 00:08:57,500
are not precisely particles,
228
00:08:57,500 --> 00:08:59,760
so there's some discreteness, there's some granularity.

229
00:08:59,760 --> 00:09:01,580
And then I started thinking, well,
230
00:09:01,580 --> 00:09:04,040
maybe I should say when
these ideas were born,
231
00:09:04,040 --> 00:09:06,330
so the ideas needed to understand,
232
00:09:06,330 --> 00:09:07,980
if I tell how they were born,
233
00:09:07,980 --> 00:09:11,800
and suddenly the entire
history, the narration,
234
00:09:11,800 --> 00:09:13,100
came in front of my mind.
235
00:09:13,100 --> 00:09:16,280
I said, "Of course, I just
talk about Democritus."
236
00:09:16,280 --> 00:09:17,660

- Right.
- I talk about Galileo,

237
00:09:17,660 --> 00:09:19,510
I talk about Faraday,

I talk about Maxwell,

```
238
00:09:19,510 --> 00:09:22,420
what problems they were addressing,
239
00:09:22,420 --> 00:09:25,410
how they came out with
that particular solution,
240
00:09:25,410 --> 00:09:28,000
and why we're using this notion now,
241
00:09:28,000 --> 00:09:30,550
and how this notion built up, changed,
242
00:09:30,550 --> 00:09:32,589
and came all the way
to a point of reality.
243
00:09:32,589 --> 00:09:36,080
No, and this is the
evolution of the world.
244
00:09:36,080 --> 00:09:37,070
In the Renaissance,
245
00:09:37,070 --> 00:09:39,370
there's this idea that
this is res extensa.
246
00:09:39,370 --> 00:09:40,927
Then Newton comes and says,
247
00:09:40,927 --> 00:09:44,050
"Okay, I go out the world,
it's space, time passes,
248
00:09:44,050 --> 00:09:45,910
and there are some little stones
```

```
249
00:09:45,910 --> 00:09:47,340
that move around with forces."
250
00:09:47,340 --> 00:09:48,930
And then Faraday comes, "Wait, wait, wait,
251
00:09:48,930 --> 00:09:50,710
you're missing something,
there's a field,"
252
00:09:50,710 --> 00:09:53,010
okay, and Maxwell put
the order in the field.
253
00:09:53,010 --> 00:09:54,417
And then, Einstein comes and says,
254
00:09:54,417 --> 00:09:57,770
"Look, the space and the
time are actually mixed,
255
00:09:57,770 --> 00:09:59,480
so you should not have
two different things.
256
00:09:59,480 --> 00:10:00,800
You should-
- Spacetime.
257
00:10:00,800 --> 00:10:04,020
- Spacetime, and then I
instantaneously realized
258
00:10:04,020 --> 00:10:06,490
that spacetime is a field.
259
00:10:06,490 --> 00:10:08,380
Wow, okay, now we connect the notion
```

260
00:10:08,380 --> 00:10:09,577
of field with spacetime,
261
00:10:09,577 --> 00:10:11,620
and quantum mechanics connect the notion
262
00:10:11,620 --> 00:10:13,150
of a particle with a field.
263
00:10:13,150 --> 00:10:16,750
So, unless you go through the way that things developed,

264
00:10:16,750 --> 00:10:18,610
you don't get them, so I
decided to write a book
265
00:10:18,610 --> 00:10:23,080
without details, but with
the core flow of ideas.
266
00:10:23,080 --> 00:10:25,570

- When that epiphany
hit you while driving,
267
00:10:25,570 --> 00:10:26,630
I know what happened after that.
268
00:10:26,630 --> 00:10:28,990
- You know what happened
after that, I got a ticket.
269
00:10:28,990 --> 00:10:30,470
- Yeah, because you were driving too fast

270
00:10:30,470 --> 00:10:32,520
'cause you were-

- I was driving too fast.

271
00:10:32,520 --> 00:10:35,470
It was an empty in the night highway.
272
00:10:35,470 --> 00:10:36,790
I was so excited,
273
00:10:36,790 --> 00:10:37,893
so excited.

- You had the pedal

274
00:10:37,893 --> 00:10:39,210 to the metal.

- Yes, the first chapter

275
00:10:39,210 --> 00:10:41,590
is gonna be saying this, and then (imitates siren).

276
00:10:42,803 --> 00:10:43,636 I said, "Shit,"

277
00:10:43,636 --> 00:10:45,542
and then I looked at the speedometer or whatever,

278
00:10:45,542 --> 00:10:48,020 don't know how you call it in English, and I'm going,

279
00:10:48,020 --> 00:10:52,020
you know, 180 kilometers
per hour on the highways.
280
00:10:52,020 --> 00:10:53,200
Oh, no.
281
00:10:53,200 --> 00:10:56,447
So, I had to pull on the
side, and the policeman said, 282
00:10:56,447 --> 00:10:58,275
"What the hell are you doing,"
283
00:10:58,275 --> 00:10:59,960
and I was, you know, I just told him.
284
00:10:59,960 --> 00:11:03,140
I said, "I'm sorry, I just
was going extremely fast.
285
00:11:03,140 --> 00:11:05,450
The reality was $I$ was not even in a hurry.
286
00:11:05,450 --> 00:11:09,200
I just got an idea how to write a book (chuckles)

287
00:11:09,200 --> 00:11:12,800
and was so happy with that, I just was excited, excited."

288
00:11:12,800 --> 00:11:14,120
And the policeman said, "Okay, good luck with your book,"

289
00:11:14,120 --> 00:11:16,260
and let me go.

- He let you go.

290
00:11:16,260 --> 00:11:19,024
I'm dying to use that
excuse someday, you know.
291
00:11:19,024 --> 00:11:20,690
No, I was speeding because I figured out
292
00:11:20,690 --> 00:11:22,260
how to write a book about quantum gravity.
293
00:11:22,260 --> 00:11:23,760
I don't think it will work for me,
294
00:11:23,760 --> 00:11:25,460
but I'm glad it worked for you.
295
00:11:25,460 --> 00:11:27,577

- Yeah, it's good to know
that works. (chuckles)
296
00:11:27,577 --> 00:11:28,930
I have a question.
297
00:11:28,930 --> 00:11:30,310
A lot of what you're talking about,
298
00:11:30,310 --> 00:11:32,490
it seems that it's very fundamental,
299
00:11:32,490 --> 00:11:34,970
this idea of unlearning things,
300
00:11:34,970 --> 00:11:36,500
both when you're writing a book,
301
00:11:36,500 --> 00:11:39,650
to encourage your readers
to unlearn some things,
302
00:11:39,650 --> 00:11:41,170
or even in your research.
303
00:11:41,170 --> 00:11:43,410
I think that when we're
thinking about something,

```
00:11:43,410 --> 00:11:45,930
we can be stuck in certain
ways of conceptual thinking
305
00:11:45,930 --> 00:11:49,430
or be making some assumptions
and not even realize it.
306
00:11:49,430 --> 00:11:51,830
Are there certain strategies
that you've learned,
307
00:11:51,830 --> 00:11:53,430
perhaps from philosophers,
308
00:11:53,430 --> 00:11:55,750
that encourage you to challenge
309
00:11:55,750 --> 00:11:58,180
your conceptual ways of thinking?
310
00:11:58,180 --> 00:11:59,680
- Oh, that's a very good question.
311
00:11:59,680 --> 00:12:01,120
I don't know the answer.
312
00:12:01,120 --> 00:12:03,870
I think the most fundamental
point about learning,
313
00:12:03,870 --> 00:12:06,260
that difficulty of learning
is not to learn something new,
314
00:12:06,260 --> 00:12:08,170
that's easy, the difficulty of learning
315
00:12:08,170 --> 00:12:10,480
```

is to unlearn what we think we know.
316
00:12:10,480 --> 00:12:12,560
We are all deeply
convinced that we are right
317
00:12:12,560 --> 00:12:14,370
about the way (chuckles) we see the world,
318
00:12:14,370 --> 00:12:15,650
everybody, including myself,
319
00:12:15,650 --> 00:12:19,300
so we just don't give up
easily the ideas we have,
320
00:12:19,300 --> 00:12:21,472
and we don't learn unless we give up
321
00:12:21,472 --> 00:12:23,300
the ideas we have.

- You wrote once that science

322
00:12:23,300 --> 00:12:25,500
is born from an act of humility.
323
00:12:25,500 --> 00:12:26,710
Is that true?

- Yeah.

324
00:12:26,710 --> 00:12:27,730
Do you mean, by humility,
325
00:12:27,730 --> 00:12:31,220
the idea that we can accept
that we don't know everything?
326
00:12:31,220 --> 00:12:32,700

- That, but even stronger.

327
00:12:32,700 --> 00:12:35,410
The idea that what we think we know, we might be wrong,

328
00:12:35,410 --> 00:12:38,540
and so what we don't know is so much more
329
00:12:38,540 --> 00:12:42,970
and so large that we shouldn't rely so much on what we know.

330
00:12:42,970 --> 00:12:43,803
Yeah, I think, humility,
331
00:12:43,803 --> 00:12:47,070
there is this beautiful letter by Newton.
332
00:12:47,070 --> 00:12:49,660
Newton was arrogant, pretentious,
333
00:12:49,660 --> 00:12:52,860
perfectly aware that he was the greatest thinker

334
00:12:52,860 --> 00:12:55,070
of his time, and three centuries later,
335
00:12:55,070 --> 00:12:56,770
we still think he's the greatest thinker,
336
00:12:56,770 --> 00:12:59,350
maybe in science, of all the times.
337
00:12:59,350 --> 00:13:00,560
He was aware of that,
338
00:13:00,560 --> 00:13:03,260

```
and at the end of his life,
he writes this letter.
339
00:13:03,260 --> 00:13:05,270
He says, "I don't know how
the others are looking at me
340
00:13:05,270 --> 00:13:08,000
or will be looking at me,
but I myself look at myself
341
00:13:08,000 --> 00:13:12,110
like a kid playing with
little pebbles on the shore
342
00:13:12,110 --> 00:13:15,440
in front of the ocean of our ignorance."
343
00:13:15,440 --> 00:13:17,900
That's why he succeeded
in being Newton, I think,
344
00:13:17,900 --> 00:13:19,830
because he was perfectly aware
345
00:13:19,830 --> 00:13:22,300
that there was everything
to be discovered.
346
00:13:22,300 --> 00:13:24,640
- So, he was both arrogant and humble
347
00:13:24,640 --> 00:13:25,948
at the same time.
- Humble, exactly.
348
00:13:25,948 --> 00:13:27,750
Arrogant and humble, there's a mix,
```

00:13:27,750 --> 00:13:28,727
the mixture of the two.

- Right.
350
00:13:28,727 --> 00:13:31,240
- You can be arrogant with
respect to the others,
351
00:13:31,240 --> 00:13:33,607
humble with respect to your
work and your ignorance,
352
00:13:33,607 --> 00:13:35,580
and the fact that we might be wrong.
353
00:13:35,580 --> 00:13:37,060
I've been reading this summer,
354
00:13:37,060 --> 00:13:39,590
because we're doing an
audio book in English,
355
00:13:39,590 --> 00:13:41,480
Galileo's greatest book.
356
00:13:41,480 --> 00:13:44,640
It's the dialogue of the
massive systems of the world.
357
00:13:44,640 --> 00:13:45,473
It's a fantastic book.
358
00:13:45,473 --> 00:13:48,000
It's a book that convinced
humankind, in fact,
359
00:13:48,000 --> 00:13:49,720
that the earth is moving, spinning,
3 6 0

```
```

00:13:49,720 --> 00:13:51,340
and is going around the sun.
361
00:13:51,340 --> 00:13:53,370
It has all the arguments
for the earth to move,
362
00:13:53,370 --> 00:13:56,190
but when reading it, a
surprise is that the arguments
3 6 3
00:13:56,190 --> 00:13:57,503
are just a few pages.
364
00:13:57,503 --> 00:14:01,020
A large part of the book
is to convince a reader
365
00:14:01,020 --> 00:14:05,900
that it's possible to question
what he took for granted.
366
00:14:05,900 --> 00:14:07,990
It's all about, look, you think that,
367
00:14:07,990 --> 00:14:09,720
but that might not be right.
368
00:14:09,720 --> 00:14:11,650
It's only when, three
quarters into the book,
369
00:14:11,650 --> 00:14:13,570
he said, okay, now
you're open to the book,
3 7 0
00:14:13,570 --> 00:14:14,910
I haven't argued anything.
3 7 1

```
```

00:14:14,910 --> 00:14:16,730
Only at that point, he brings argument
372
00:14:16,730 --> 00:14:18,890
for the movement of the earth,
373
00:14:18,890 --> 00:14:20,732
which, by the way, are wrong.
374
00:14:20,732 --> 00:14:22,630

- (laughs) Yeah.
375
00:14:22,630 --> 00:14:24,550
- Are mistaken, we know
that, but nevertheless,
376
00:14:24,550 --> 00:14:27,240
he convinced the world that,
not by giving good argument,
377
00:14:27,240 --> 00:14:29,680
in fact, he is wrong, but by showing
378
00:14:29,680 --> 00:14:33,990
that there's nothing wrong
in accepting that something
379
00:14:33,990 --> 00:14:36,500
completely obvious to you, it's not right.
380
00:14:36,500 --> 00:14:38,110
But that was not your question.
381
00:14:38,110 --> 00:14:41,620
Your question, well, what's
a strategy we can go to
382
00:14:42,690 --> 00:14:46,190
for not being trapped in our own beliefs,

```

00:14:46,190 --> 00:14:47,680
and I don't know.
384
00:14:47,680 --> 00:14:49,352
Scientists are like everybody else.
385
00:14:49,352 --> 00:14:50,770
- Right.
- Is it more

386
00:14:50,770 --> 00:14:53,524
than being aware of that, keep repeating it to ourself?

387
00:14:53,524 --> 00:14:55,177
(chuckles) That, I don't
know what's the right way
388
00:14:55,177 --> 00:14:57,200
of doing so.
- Yeah.

389
00:14:57,200 --> 00:14:59,650
- Do you remember a moment
in your earlier life
390
00:14:59,650 --> 00:15:01,860
when maybe physics, or science itself,
391
00:15:01,860 --> 00:15:04,450
sort of revealed to you
this new way of looking
392
00:15:04,450 --> 00:15:06,730
or unlearning things you may have known
393
00:15:06,730 --> 00:15:08,470
and opened your eyes to new possibilities?

394
00:15:08,470 --> 00:15:10,290
Was there an epiphany there,
395
00:15:10,290 --> 00:15:12,967
or was it a series of happenings?
396
00:15:12,967 --> 00:15:14,750
- It was a series of happenings,

397
00:15:14,750 --> 00:15:18,320
starting from when I was a student.
398
00:15:18,320 --> 00:15:20,660
I fell in love with science late,
399
00:15:20,660 --> 00:15:24,090 when I was already a university student in physics.

\section*{400}

00:15:24,090 --> 00:15:28,100
In studying modern physics, it was a series of shocks,

401
00:15:28,100 --> 00:15:31,160
like, oh, my God, somehow reality is not what it seems.

402
00:15:31,160 --> 00:15:32,730
That became the title of my book.
403
00:15:32,730 --> 00:15:35,250
So, it was a strong
experience at that time.
404
00:15:35,250 --> 00:15:37,800
- Is that a phrase that you've had in your mind

405
00:15:37,800 --> 00:15:39,360
for a long time before the book came out,
406
00:15:39,360 --> 00:15:40,910
that reality is not what it seems?
407
00:15:40,910 --> 00:15:44,400
Is that sort of the realization you had those years ago?

408
00:15:44,400 --> 00:15:46,470
- The concept, yes.
- Yeah.

409
00:15:46,470 --> 00:15:50,440
- For sure, somehow it grew with me in various manners.

410
00:15:50,440 --> 00:15:51,700
The phrase itself, I'm not sure.
411
00:15:51,700 --> 00:15:53,540
I think it came from the text of the book,
412
00:15:53,540 --> 00:15:56,460
and then I picked it up
because it represented
413
00:15:56,460 --> 00:15:58,170
what was going on.
414
00:15:58,170 --> 00:15:59,850
- You mentioned quantum gravity before,

415
00:15:59,850 --> 00:16:01,377
and there's a line in your book,
416
00:16:01,377 --> 00:16:03,020
"Seven Brief Lessons on Physics".
417
00:16:03,020 --> 00:16:05,117
It's just such a simple short line,
418
00:16:05,117 --> 00:16:08,020
"There is a paradox at the
heart of our understanding
419
00:16:08,020 --> 00:16:09,360
of the physical world."
420
00:16:09,360 --> 00:16:11,080
That paradox is essentially, I think,
421
00:16:11,080 --> 00:16:12,640
the root of quantum gravity,
422
00:16:12,640 --> 00:16:15,720
that paradox between general relativity
423
00:16:15,720 --> 00:16:17,080
and quantum mechanics.
424
00:16:17,080 --> 00:16:20,480
Can you elaborate a bit more on why that's a paradox,

425
00:16:20,480 --> 00:16:22,570
what paradox we're struggling with,
426
00:16:22,570 --> 00:16:24,910
and why we need a solution to it?
427
00:16:24,910 --> 00:16:27,600
- I believe it is an apparent paradox,

428
00:16:27,600 --> 00:16:31,570
```

that it's strikingly
paradoxical, the way it looks.
4 2 9
00:16:31,570 --> 00:16:35,450
It's what a student of physics learns
4 3 0
00:16:35,450 --> 00:16:38,600
when he goes to school at the university
4 3 1
00:16:38,600 --> 00:16:41,310
and he just minimally thinks,
4 3 2
00:16:41,310 --> 00:16:44,470
because you go to classes
on quantum mechanics
4 3 3
00:16:44,470 --> 00:16:46,660
and you get your explanation of the world,
4 3 4
00:16:46,660 --> 00:16:49,020
and the world is all about discreteness.
4 3 5
00:16:49,020 --> 00:16:52,280
Light is photons, and it's
just discrete particles.
4 3 6
00:16:52,280 --> 00:16:54,470
They're minute particle bits of things.
4 3 7
00:16:54,470 --> 00:16:56,600
Everything is in bits and chunks.
4 3 8
00:16:56,600 --> 00:16:57,910
It's probabilistic.
4 3 9
00:16:57,910 --> 00:17:00,130
There is this strange,

```
00:17:00,130 --> 00:17:03,420
interactive thing from
which, in quantum mechanics,
4 4 1
00:17:03,420 --> 00:17:05,870
you predict how things
interact with one another.
4 4 2
00:17:05,870 --> 00:17:08,370
So, you say, okay, that's
the way reality is.
4 4 3
00:17:08,370 --> 00:17:11,130
I mean, okay, God did
reality like that, I mean,
4 4 4
00:17:11,130 --> 00:17:13,540
we don't know what she thinks or why,
4 4 5
00:17:13,540 --> 00:17:14,570
but that's the way reality is.
446
00:17:14,570 --> 00:17:16,210
And then you go to the other class,
4 4 7
00:17:16,210 --> 00:17:18,000
with this other teacher that teaches you
4 4 8
00:17:18,000 --> 00:17:19,920
about general relativity,
and this is, you know,
4 4 9
00:17:19,920 --> 00:17:23,270
an equally immense,
fundamental, successful theory,
4 5 0
00:17:23,270 --> 00:17:25,460
and the universe is perfectly continuous.
4 5 1
```

```
00:17:25,460 --> 00:17:27,220
There's nothing probabilistic,
4 5 2
00:17:27,220 --> 00:17:29,260
deterministic equations of motion.
4 5 3
00:17:29,260 --> 00:17:32,190
Everything is perfectly
objective out there.
4 5 4
00:17:32,190 --> 00:17:35,800
You can write the history of
spacetime in a single equation.
4 5 5
00:17:35,800 --> 00:17:36,910
And then I think, wait a minute.
4 5 6
00:17:36,910 --> 00:17:39,130
I mean, either one or the
other, there cannot be, I mean,
4 5 7
00:17:39,130 --> 00:17:41,584
my teachers stopped
talking to one another,
4 5 8
00:17:41,584 --> 00:17:44,110
(chuckles) haven't talked
to one another for 30 years.
4 5 9
00:17:44,110 --> 00:17:46,730
So, it's really two
totally different images
4 6 0
00:17:46,730 --> 00:17:49,210
of how reality works.
4 6 1
00:17:49,210 --> 00:17:51,220
God can be complicated, I don't know,
4 6 2
```

```
00:17:51,220 --> 00:17:53,230
but not so self-contradictory.
4 6 3
00:17:53,230 --> 00:17:55,540
The world is either this
way or the other way,
4 6 4
00:17:55,540 --> 00:17:57,950
or some way which is compatible with both.
4 6 5
00:17:57,950 --> 00:17:59,013
- And is that the question
4 6 6
00:17:59,013 --> 00:17:59,920
of quantum gravity?
- That's quantum gravity.
4 6 7
00:17:59,920 --> 00:18:02,700
Exactly.
- Speaking of quantum gravity,
4 6 8
00:18:02,700 --> 00:18:03,660
as part of this show,
4 6 9
00:18:03,660 --> 00:18:06,080
we collect questions from other listeners,
4 7 0
00:18:06,080 --> 00:18:09,860
and a mutual friend of
ours, Carlo, Lin-Qing Chen,
4 7 1
00:18:09,860 --> 00:18:12,610
she's a postdoctoral researcher
in Brussels, Belgium,
4 7 2
00:18:12,610 --> 00:18:14,420
she sent in a question for you.
4 7 3
00:18:14,420 --> 00:18:15,360
```

- Oh, fantastic.

474
00:18:15,360 --> 00:18:17,380

- Hi, Carlo, this is Lin-Qing.

475
00:18:17,380 --> 00:18:21,550
So, in our quest for a
theory of quantum gravity,
476
00:18:21,550 --> 00:18:25,880
do you think we will need
new fundamental principles
477
00:18:25,880 --> 00:18:29,660 that both quantum mechanics or general relativity

478
00:18:29,660 --> 00:18:32,400
have not yet revealed to us,
479
00:18:32,400 --> 00:18:36,010
and what is your strategy
for finding them out?
480
00:18:36,010 --> 00:18:37,410
Thank you.
481
00:18:37,410 --> 00:18:40,020

- The answer I have, I'm not sure,

482
00:18:40,020 --> 00:18:43,140
but that answer on
which I'm working is no.
483
00:18:43,140 --> 00:18:44,070
No to the question, do you think
484
00:18:44,070 --> 00:18:48,320
there is some fundamental
principle that we'll be missing?
485
00:18:48,320 --> 00:18:50,040
I think that the idea that, oh,
486
00:18:50,040 --> 00:18:51,890
we are missing something crucial,
487
00:18:51,890 --> 00:18:54,630
fundamental down there is just wrong.
488
00:18:54,630 --> 00:18:57,610
The point is that we have to take seriously what we learn

489
00:18:57,610 --> 00:19:00,500
with quantum mechanics and seriously what we learn

490
00:19:00,500 --> 00:19:03,280
about general relativity,
and bring them together,
491
00:19:03,280 --> 00:19:04,950
and they do go together.
492
00:19:04,950 --> 00:19:07,750
I'm a very conservative
guy from this perspective.
493
00:19:07,750 --> 00:19:10,320
I don't believe we need something new,
494
00:19:10,320 --> 00:19:13,730
a supersymmetry with other worlds, many dimensions,

495
00:19:13,730 --> 00:19:15,360
breaking the Lorentz invariance,

496
00:19:15,360 --> 00:19:17,780
of correction to quantum mechanics.
497
00:19:17,780 --> 00:19:21,290
Nature has been saying
no to all the attempts
498
00:19:21,290 --> 00:19:24,050
to test this alternative hypothesis so far,

499
00:19:24,050 --> 00:19:27,330
so I don't see any evidence
that we're missing something.
500
00:19:27,330 --> 00:19:29,840
General relativity, it's about spacetime,
501
00:19:29,840 --> 00:19:31,485
so it's a shape of spacetime,
502
00:19:31,485 --> 00:19:33,310
a shape of space and a shape of time,
503
00:19:33,310 --> 00:19:34,970
which means how different
clocks move with respect
504
00:19:34,970 --> 00:19:38,700
to one another and how
meters measure jobs,
505
00:19:38,700 --> 00:19:41,810
and that's quantum, and
so we have to understand
506
00:19:41,810 --> 00:19:43,717
the quantum properties of time

507
00:19:43,717 --> 00:19:46,080
and the quantum property of space.
508
00:19:46,080 --> 00:19:47,130
That's radical.
509
00:19:47,130 --> 00:19:49,980
So, the assumption is
conservative, but if you follow up,
510
00:19:49,980 --> 00:19:51,910
this is completely
radical because it means
511
00:19:51,910 --> 00:19:54,460
this continuous space
that you thought it was,
512
00:19:54,460 --> 00:19:55,760
forget about it.
513
00:19:55,760 --> 00:19:58,890
The time evolution in a single variable, forget about it.

514
00:19:58,890 --> 00:20:01,700
You have to replace the usual way of thinking space,

515
00:20:01,700 --> 00:20:03,480
the usual way of thinking time
516
00:20:03,480 --> 00:20:05,850
with something consistent
with quantum mechanics,
517
00:20:05,850 --> 00:20:08,140
but not this quantum

```
mechanics in spacetime,
518
00:20:08,140 --> 00:20:09,600
quantum mechanics off spacetime.
519
00:20:09,600 --> 00:20:12,340
So, for instance, you
have to have mathematics
520
00:20:12,340 --> 00:20:14,900
and a physical intuition that allows you
521
00:20:14,900 --> 00:20:18,670
for having quantum
superposition of spacetimes,
522
00:20:18,670 --> 00:20:20,010
plural, of geometries.
523
00:20:20,010 --> 00:20:22,990
Like, there is shooting a
cat that can be both awake
524
00:20:22,990 --> 00:20:25,180
and asleep, or somebody
said dead and alive.
525
00:20:25,180 --> 00:20:26,600
- I like your version better.
- (laughs) Yeah.
526
00:20:26,600 --> 00:20:28,347
- I like cats, so.
- Yeah, exactly.
527
00:20:28,347 --> 00:20:30,750
So, shooting a cat in quantum mechanics
528
00:20:30,750 --> 00:20:33,290
```

is it can be both awake and sleeping.
529
00:20:33,290 --> 00:20:35,100
And so, in the same sense,
530
00:20:35,100 --> 00:20:37,140
space can have a shape
and also another shape
531
00:20:37,140 --> 00:20:38,173
in a superposition of the two,
532
00:20:38,173 --> 00:20:41,450
and, of course, this requires imagination,
533
00:20:41,450 --> 00:20:43,940
finding the right concept to talk about that.

534
00:20:43,940 --> 00:20:46,200
That's also where philosophy comes in useful, 535
00:20:46,200 --> 00:20:47,920
and the right mathematics,
536
00:20:47,920 --> 00:20:49,410
and I think there are, I mean,
537
00:20:49,410 --> 00:20:51,430
loop quantum gravity is
an example of a theory
538
00:20:51,430 --> 00:20:52,680 that attempts to do that.

539
00:20:52,680 --> 00:20:54,100
We don't know if it is right.

540
00:20:54,100 --> 00:20:55,670
It's very conservative,
541
00:20:55,670 --> 00:20:58,530
and that's why the answer to Lin-Qing's question is no.

542
00:20:58,530 --> 00:21:01,592
So, there's no other
principle to be added,
543
00:21:01,592 --> 00:21:04,190 but it's completely radical then because it forces us

544
00:21:04,190 --> 00:21:06,710 to rethink the basic notions.

545
00:21:06,710 --> 00:21:08,970

- And you mentioned evidence when you were giving

546
00:21:08,970 --> 00:21:11,020
this explanation, looking for evidence
547
00:21:11,020 --> 00:21:13,560
to support various theories of quantum gravity.

548
00:21:13,560 --> 00:21:16,480
What kind of evidence
would you be looking for?
549
00:21:16,480 --> 00:21:18,800

- Recently, there has
been a lot of evidence
550
00:21:18,800 --> 00:21:21,730
that helps us, and in science,

551
00:21:21,730 --> 00:21:26,130
evidence is never definitive, it's always indications,

552
00:21:26,130 --> 00:21:29,050
like in life, by the way. (laughs)
553
00:21:29,050 --> 00:21:30,410
It's not that you kill a theory.
554
00:21:30,410 --> 00:21:33,220
It's very rarely that you
really, really kill a theory
555
00:21:33,220 --> 00:21:36,200
with an experiment, but you
create problems to a theory,
556
00:21:36,200 --> 00:21:37,810
and when a theory has too many problems,
557
00:21:37,810 --> 00:21:39,010
you look somewhere else,
558
00:21:39,010 --> 00:21:40,830
and there have been a lot
of these things recently.
559
00:21:40,830 --> 00:21:44,010
The strongest and the most unexpected for many people

560
00:21:44,010 --> 00:21:46,200
has been the absence of
low-energy supersymmetry.
561
00:21:46,200 --> 00:21:48,470
There was a big part of the community

562
00:21:48,470 --> 00:21:52,540
that was completely
convinced, sort of 99.9\%,
563
00:21:52,540 --> 00:21:55,430
that supersymmetry was
going to be detected-
564
00:21:55,430 --> 00:21:56,390

- By the Large Hadron Collider?

565
00:21:56,390 --> 00:21:57,686

- At CERN, right.
- Yeah.

566
00:21:57,686 --> 00:21:58,769

- It was LHC,

567
00:21:59,780 --> 00:22:01,330
and it wasn't.
568
00:22:01,330 --> 00:22:03,460
It was a shock, there were
titles of the journals,
569
00:22:03,460 --> 00:22:05,290
like, you know, this
is a crisis of physics.
570
00:22:05,290 --> 00:22:07,090
Of course, it's not the crisis of physics.
571
00:22:07,090 --> 00:22:09,080
It's only the crisis for
those who expected it,
572
00:22:09,080 --> 00:22:09,913
which is not physics,

573
00:22:09,913 --> 00:22:11,610
it's just a particular school of thought.
574
00:22:11,610 --> 00:22:13,060
But that's nature talking,
575
00:22:13,060 --> 00:22:14,530
and when nature is
talking, we should listen.
576
00:22:14,530 --> 00:22:17,430
Another example is breaking the Lorentz invariance,

577
00:22:17,430 --> 00:22:20,270
the symmetry at the basis of Einstein special relativity,

578
00:22:20,270 --> 00:22:21,750
which is Lorentz invariance.
579
00:22:21,750 --> 00:22:23,960
Some people thought, also, that was like supersymmetry.

580
00:22:23,960 --> 00:22:25,850
It was a very nice idea.
581
00:22:25,850 --> 00:22:29,140
You can make the point
of quantum gravity easier
582
00:22:29,140 --> 00:22:30,660
if you don't have Lorentz invariance.
583
00:22:30,660 --> 00:22:32,470
So, people try to provide theories

584
00:22:32,470 --> 00:22:33,780
which break Lorentz invariance
585
00:22:33,780 --> 00:22:36,580
and might be quantum gravity theories.
586
00:22:36,580 --> 00:22:37,870
So, this was tested,
587
00:22:37,870 --> 00:22:40,460
15 years now of
astrophysical observations,
588
00:22:40,460 --> 00:22:42,530
and all the expected signs
589
00:22:42,530 --> 00:22:44,610
of breaking Lorentz invariance, zero.
590
00:22:44,610 --> 00:22:46,480
Once again, nature talks.
591
00:22:46,480 --> 00:22:48,850
As far as we know, nature is
saying, 'No, no, no, no, guys,
592
00:22:48,850 --> 00:22:50,610
that's not the right way
to look for the solution."
593
00:22:50,610 --> 00:22:52,370
So, I think nature is
giving indication, I mean,
594
00:22:52,370 --> 00:22:55,330
a lot of people expect a negative cosmological constant.

595

```
00:22:55,330 --> 00:22:57,400
```

Even today, there is a
large part of the community
596
00:22:57,400 --> 00:22:59,670
that continues to do
calculations and calculations
597
00:22:59,670 --> 00:23:02,446
and calculations with a
negative cosmological constant,
598
00:23:02,446 --> 00:23:03,940
or AdS/CFT.
599
00:23:03,940 --> 00:23:07,010
AdS means anti-de Sitter,
which means there's a space
600
00:23:07,010 --> 00:23:09,520
with an effective negative
cosmological constant,
601
00:23:09,520 --> 00:23:11,410
and you accept that the
cosmological constant
602
00:23:11,410 --> 00:23:13,990
has been measured by the
astronomers, by the cosmologists.
603
00:23:13,990 --> 00:23:15,840
In a very convincing way,
they got the Nobel Prize,
604
00:23:15,840 --> 00:23:18,400
the ones who did that, and it's positive.
605
00:23:18,400 --> 00:23:21,670
So, once again, I think my interpretation,

606
00:23:21,670 --> 00:23:24,327
my reading of that as a scientist, I mean, I might be wrong,

607
00:23:24,327 --> 00:23:27,910 but my reading of that is that nature is talking, listen.

608
00:23:27,910 --> 00:23:28,940
That's not the right direction.
609
00:23:28,940 --> 00:23:30,910

- How will nature speak to you to advance

610
00:23:30,910 --> 00:23:32,710
loop quantum gravity, say.
611
00:23:32,710 --> 00:23:34,360

- There are two or three directions

612
00:23:34,360 --> 00:23:37,720
where me and many of my colleagues are looking into.

613
00:23:37,720 --> 00:23:39,160
For the moment, zero,
614
00:23:39,160 --> 00:23:42,820
so we have no negative response from nature,

615
00:23:42,820 --> 00:23:44,469
but we don't have any positive response
616
00:23:44,469 --> 00:23:46,300
(laughing) from nature.

- Can you explain that a bit?

617
00:23:46,300 --> 00:23:49,170

- We don't know, so there's nothing that has come

618
00:23:49,170 --> 00:23:52,010
as a contradiction to what we expected,
619
00:23:52,010 --> 00:23:53,670
but there's nothing that has come
620
00:23:53,670 --> 00:23:55,950
to confirm predictions
of the theory either,
621
00:23:55,950 --> 00:23:57,197
so I cannot say that quantum gravity
622
00:23:57,197 --> 00:23:59,010
is confirmed in any sense.
623
00:23:59,010 --> 00:24:01,510
So, where could the confirmation come from?

624
00:24:01,510 --> 00:24:02,910
I see three possible directions.
625
00:24:02,910 --> 00:24:04,550
One is the early cosmology.
626
00:24:04,550 --> 00:24:07,470
There's a lot of literature, papers, and papers written.

627
00:24:07,470 --> 00:24:10,580
So, the universe, we know, came out in the Big Bang,

628

```
00:24:10,580 --> 00:24:14,040
the very early moment, at
the beginning of its life,
629
00:24:14,040 --> 00:24:16,260
deep into quantum gravity regime,
6 3 0
00:24:16,260 --> 00:24:18,930
so that's where quantum
gravity should appear.
6 3 1
00:24:18,930 --> 00:24:21,890
A lot of colleagues have
applied loop quantum gravity
6 3 2
00:24:21,890 --> 00:24:23,830
to describe what happens there.
6 3 3
00:24:23,830 --> 00:24:26,580
It seems to be working, and to see if one
6 3 4
00:24:26,580 --> 00:24:29,180
can predict effects of what happened there
6 3 5
00:24:29,180 --> 00:24:32,000
that can be tested in the
cosmic background radiation,
6 3 6
00:24:32,000 --> 00:24:35,330
it's possible, measurements
getting more precise.
6 3 7
00:24:35,330 --> 00:24:37,150
I hope they will convert
it with something useful,
6 3 8
00:24:37,150 --> 00:24:38,230
but for the moment, there's nothing.
6 3 9
```

```
00:24:38,230 --> 00:24:40,320
That's one, the second one is black holes.
6 4 0
00:24:40,320 --> 00:24:41,700
I'm working on black holes.
6 4 1
00:24:41,700 --> 00:24:44,080
Loop quantum gravity is
consistent very much with the idea
642
00:24:44,080 --> 00:24:46,260 that a black hole evaporates.
643
00:24:46,260 --> 00:24:48,780 That's Hawking's realization, that black holes evaporate.
644
00:24:48,780 --> 00:24:51,490 They become smaller, smaller, smaller, and then, at the end,
645
00:24:51,490 --> 00:24:54,080 they don't just disappear, pop out of existence,
646
00:24:54,080 --> 00:24:56,350
but there's a remnant,
which is a white hole.
647
00:24:56,350 --> 00:24:59,400
So, there's a quantum
gravity transition jump
648
00:24:59,400 --> 00:25:01,740
from a black hole to a white hole, with a little throat,
649
00:25:01,740 --> 00:25:03,900 but a huge inside.
```

650
00:25:03,900 --> 00:25:06,467
And then this white hole, slowly, things come out,

651
00:25:06,467 --> 00:25:08,740
where the information slowly comes out,
652
00:25:08,740 --> 00:25:10,250
lives for a very long time.
653
00:25:10,250 --> 00:25:11,480
So, this is a scenario,
654
00:25:11,480 --> 00:25:14,190
it might have astrophysical consequences.
655
00:25:14,190 --> 00:25:16,370
These have been explored by this group,
656
00:25:16,370 --> 00:25:18,593
including London and the
people I'm working with.
657
00:25:18,593 --> 00:25:20,750
There's one of these possibilities,
658
00:25:20,750 --> 00:25:22,710
which I'm particularly attracted to,
659
00:25:22,710 --> 00:25:25,340
which is that these little
things that float around
660
00:25:25,340 --> 00:25:28,700
in the universe are actually dark matter,
661
00:25:28,700 --> 00:25:30,460
or a component of dark matter.

662
00:25:30,460 --> 00:25:32,780
If so, it might be that we have already observed something,

663
00:25:32,780 --> 00:25:34,210
we just haven't recognized it.
664
00:25:34,210 --> 00:25:36,450

- Dark matter being another great puzzle

665
00:25:36,450 --> 00:25:38,030
of modern physics.

- Dark matter,

666
00:25:38,030 --> 00:25:40,320
it's a big puzzle, and that's the opposite
667
00:25:40,320 --> 00:25:42,300
of the quantum gravity puzzle, because it's not a puzzle

668
00:25:42,300 --> 00:25:45,230
in our understanding, it's
a puzzle in what we see.
669
00:25:45,230 --> 00:25:47,270
You look at the universe around us
670
00:25:47,270 --> 00:25:50,260
and we see galaxies, starts, clouds of hydrogen,

671
00:25:50,260 --> 00:25:53,540
all sorts of stuff, and then
there is this stuff out there,
672
00:25:53,540 --> 00:25:55,490
something that produces effect out there.

673
00:25:55,490 --> 00:25:58,090
We see the gravitational
effect of these things.
674
00:25:58,090 --> 00:25:59,790
We have quite convincing evidence
675
00:25:59,790 --> 00:26:01,013
that it's not usual matter.
676
00:26:01,013 --> 00:26:03,780
It's not just atoms or molecules or protons

677
00:26:03,780 --> 00:26:06,190
or neutrinos or photons,
678
00:26:06,190 --> 00:26:08,133
it's something else, and it's a lot.
679
00:26:08,133 --> 00:26:10,880
There's quite as much normal matter and dark matter,

680
00:26:10,880 --> 00:26:13,880 even more dark matter, and nobody knows what it is,

681
00:26:13,880 --> 00:26:15,240
so it's fantastic.
682
00:26:15,240 --> 00:26:17,740
People who say that we're
close to the end of physics
683
00:26:17,740 --> 00:26:19,920 and close to the theory of everything, come on, guys,

684
00:26:19,920 --> 00:26:21,750
we don't even know what we see around us.
685
00:26:21,750 --> 00:26:23,740
So, dark matter is really
an important question.
686
00:26:23,740 --> 00:26:25,940
We have a lot of possible explanations,
687
00:26:25,940 --> 00:26:29,530
but many are non, confirmed
non, really, credible.
688
00:26:29,530 --> 00:26:31,180
I like the black hole ones
689
00:26:31,180 --> 00:26:35,270
because it does not rely
on any new assumption.
690
00:26:35,270 --> 00:26:36,503
Well, if there's black holes,
691
00:26:36,503 --> 00:26:38,260
it's just nothing we know exists.
692
00:26:38,260 --> 00:26:40,190

- It's funny, you said it's a mystery,

693
00:26:40,190 --> 00:26:42,240
we don't know, and that's fantastic.
694
00:26:42,240 --> 00:26:44,320
That's not always the case in a lot of professions,

695

```
00:26:44,320 --> 00:26:46,027
where the lack of
knowledge about something
696
00:26:46,027 --> 00:26:47,890
is something you're excited about.
697
00:26:47,890 --> 00:26:51,180
Are you glad that physics is
nowhere near being complete?
6 9 8
00:26:51,180 --> 00:26:52,677
- Oh, infinitely so, of course,
6 9 9
00:26:52,677 --> 00:26:54,980
otherwise it would be boring, right?
700
00:26:54,980 --> 00:26:58,180
Imagine what a disaster if
somebody wrote, "Okay, I got it,
701
00:26:58,180 --> 00:27:00,380
everything, this is the final
equation of everything."
702
00:27:00,380 --> 00:27:01,277
- But that's what you're going for.
7 0 3
00:27:01,277 --> 00:27:02,110
- No.
- No?
704
00:27:02,110 --> 00:27:04,040
- No, zero.
- Is quantum gravity
7 0 5
00:27:04,040 --> 00:27:07,690
not sort of that grand, unifying theory?
706
```

```
00:27:07,690 --> 00:27:09,113
- No, it's a step on the way.
707
00:27:09,113 --> 00:27:11,280
It's just figuring out what
is the quantum property
708
00:27:11,280 --> 00:27:12,460
of space and time.
7 0 9
00:27:12,460 --> 00:27:14,800
I'm not working for
material of everything,
7 1 0
00:27:14,800 --> 00:27:16,250
I'm working just for a next step
711
00:27:16,250 --> 00:27:17,440
in understanding what's around.
7 1 2
00:27:17,440 --> 00:27:20,600
- Once we've figured out the
quantum nature of spacetime,
7 1 3
00:27:20,600 --> 00:27:23,760
does that open up new questions
or help us answer old ones?
714
00:27:23,760 --> 00:27:27,540
- I suppose loop quantum
gravity is confirmed.
715
00:27:27,540 --> 00:27:29,490
With a colleague, Marios Christodoulou,
716
00:27:29,490 --> 00:27:31,800
we have an experiment which we proposed,
7 1 7
00:27:31,800 --> 00:27:34,960
```

```
which might even be
doable in 10 years or so,
718
00:27:34,960 --> 00:27:37,580
which would actually test
the discreteness of time
719
00:27:37,580 --> 00:27:38,767
predicted by loop quantum gravity.
720
00:27:38,767 --> 00:27:40,990
So, suppose this can be done, and bingo,
721
00:27:40,990 --> 00:27:43,530
the right numbers, because
loop quantum gravity
722
00:27:43,530 --> 00:27:45,400
predicts that space is discrete, right?
7 2 3
00:27:45,400 --> 00:27:48,260
It's granular, like
light is made by photons,
724
00:27:48,260 --> 00:27:51,320
spaces make this grain
of space, but also time,
725
00:27:51,320 --> 00:27:53,350
I expect it has this granularity.
726
00:27:53,350 --> 00:27:55,160
We have an idea of, perhaps,
727
00:27:55,160 --> 00:27:57,290
with some slight advances in technology,
728
00:27:57,290 --> 00:27:59,422
not excessive, it could be tested.
```

729
00:27:59,422 --> 00:28:01,510
Now, suppose this comes out right.
730
00:28:01,510 --> 00:28:03,320
Loop quantum gravity is correct, perfect,
731
00:28:03,320 --> 00:28:05,627
the right numbers are there, now what?
732
00:28:05,627 --> 00:28:08,360
Well, now we still have a universe
733
00:28:08,360 --> 00:28:11,070
described by a funny standard model,
734
00:28:11,070 --> 00:28:14,110
where the weak interactions
and the strong interactions
735
00:28:14,110 --> 00:28:17,330
are completely separated, described by similar theories,

736
00:28:17,330 --> 00:28:19,570
but not really unified in any way.
737
00:28:19,570 --> 00:28:22,470
Gravity described by still another theory.
738
00:28:22,470 --> 00:28:24,560
19 parameters for the standard model.
739
00:28:24,560 --> 00:28:26,420
Who chose them? Why?
740
00:28:26,420 --> 00:28:28,340
Three generations.

741
00:28:28,340 --> 00:28:31,400
Suppose with quantum gravity, we figure out the Big Bang,

742
00:28:31,400 --> 00:28:32,863
and what seems likely is that it was not,
743
00:28:32,863 --> 00:28:34,940
that the initial exposure was a bounce.
744
00:28:34,940 --> 00:28:36,900
It's an idea studied by various people.
745
00:28:36,900 --> 00:28:38,160

- That our universe is the result

746
00:28:38,160 --> 00:28:40,580
of a previous one collapsing and expanding again?

747
00:28:40,580 --> 00:28:42,970

- Some universe was collapsing, in some sense,

748
00:28:42,970 --> 00:28:44,140
under its own weight.
749
00:28:44,140 --> 00:28:46,350
It gets to the sort of
maximum compression,
750
00:28:46,350 --> 00:28:47,660
where quantum gravity comes in.
751
00:28:47,660 --> 00:28:49,410
It bounces, and then what we see
752

```
00:28:49,410 --> 00:28:51,510
as the Big Bang is this bounce.
753
00:28:51,510 --> 00:28:53,450
I think it's a reasonable hypothesis.
754
00:28:53,450 --> 00:28:56,550
It seems to be more
reasonable than the Big Bang.
755
00:28:56,550 --> 00:28:58,490
Suppose we figured this out.
756
00:28:58,490 --> 00:28:59,720
Have we solved everything?
757
00:28:59,720 --> 00:29:00,810
No, of course, I mean,
758
00:29:00,810 --> 00:29:04,182
where was the collapsing
universe coming from?
759
00:29:04,182 --> 00:29:06,210
(chuckles) I mean, what was there?
760
00:29:06,210 --> 00:29:10,640
It seems, today, so impossibly
difficult to figure out
761
00:29:10,640 --> 00:29:12,510
what was in the collapsing universe,
762
00:29:12,510 --> 00:29:14,440
but at the time of my great-grandfather,
7 6 3
00:29:14,440 --> 00:29:16,820
it seemed impossible to discover
```

764
00:29:16,820 --> 00:29:19,230
what was the chemical
composition of Jupiter.
765
00:29:19,230 --> 00:29:21,780
I mean, it was considered an unsolvable problem.

766
00:29:21,780 --> 00:29:23,910
I mean, now we know,
in Jupiter, everything,
767
00:29:23,910 --> 00:29:25,990
even, you know, if there were ants there,
768
00:29:25,990 --> 00:29:26,823
we would've seen that.
769
00:29:26,823 --> 00:29:31,720
So, science finds new problems
and grows at all levels
770
00:29:31,720 --> 00:29:34,600
if the mystery is not a reason of sadness,
771
00:29:34,600 --> 00:29:37,923
it's a reason of joy because
a new thing's discovered.
772
00:29:37,923 --> 00:29:39,890
It's the beauty of understanding.
773
00:29:39,890 --> 00:29:41,360

- You're still, like Isaac Newton,

774
00:29:41,360 --> 00:29:44,115
playing with pebbles on the vast sea of what we don't know?

775
00:29:44,115 --> 00:29:46,550

- Absolutely.
- And regarding this,

776
00:29:46,550 --> 00:29:49,430
you know, general idea
of working in a field,
777
00:29:49,430 --> 00:29:52,510 where you maybe don't yet have evidence for or against it,

778
00:29:52,510 --> 00:29:54,870
it just reminds me of
something you write about
779
00:29:54,870 --> 00:29:56,610
in your book, "Seven Brief Lessons".
780
00:29:56,610 --> 00:29:58,450
When you're talking
about Einstein's theory,
781
00:29:58,450 --> 00:30:00,810
you write about how, a lot of times,
782
00:30:00,810 --> 00:30:04,310
something very important is
kind of considered useless
783
00:30:04,310 --> 00:30:05,670
at the time when it's developed.
784
00:30:05,670 --> 00:30:08,310
One example you gave
is that Rehman's work,
785
00:30:08,310 --> 00:30:11,270
that was generalizing

```
Gauss's explanations,
78
00:30:11,270 --> 00:30:12,880
was considered useless at the time,
787
00:30:12,880 --> 00:30:16,600
but it was then a fundamental
piece of Einstein's theory.
78
00:30:16,600 --> 00:30:18,330
So, it seems that this is very crucial,
789
00:30:18,330 --> 00:30:20,760
to work on things where
we don't actually know
7 9 0
00:30:20,760 --> 00:30:22,610
exactly what the application will be
7 9 1
00:30:22,610 --> 00:30:25,830
or exactly how long it
will be, but I'm wondering
792
00:30:25,830 --> 00:30:27,960
if you think that physicists
need to find, in general,
793
00:30:27,960 --> 00:30:30,710
some kind of a balance
between working on problems
794
00:30:30,710 --> 00:30:33,300
where we have an idea of the time horizon
795
00:30:33,300 --> 00:30:36,500
for the applications versus
working on these problems
796
00:30:36,500 --> 00:30:39,660
```

```
where we don't really
know where it will lead.
797
00:30:39,660 --> 00:30:43,690
- Yes, obviously there is a
balance to be searched there.
798
00:30:43,690 --> 00:30:47,140
If you look at this from
the main whole of Perimeter,
799
00:30:47,140 --> 00:30:49,280
as this is the same city,
hard to find balance,
800
00:30:49,280 --> 00:30:51,090
but if you look at it on a large scale,
801
00:30:51,090 --> 00:30:55,070
99.9% of the money put
into research worldwide
802
00:30:55,070 --> 00:30:57,040
is to practical applications.
803
00:30:57,040 --> 00:30:58,880
Of course, we need practical
applications, right?
804
00:30:58,880 --> 00:31:01,810
We need people who study
chemistry of materials
805
00:31:01,810 --> 00:31:04,070
because we need the certain
materials for something,
806
00:31:04,070 --> 00:31:05,970
healing people and replacing bones.
```

807
00:31:05,970 --> 00:31:07,220
I'm just defending.
808
00:31:07,220 --> 00:31:08,750
Applied science is great,
809
00:31:08,750 --> 00:31:12,880
but to concentrate resources
toward applied science so much,
810
00:31:12,880 --> 00:31:15,470
as is done today, I
think, it's a disaster.
811
00:31:15,470 --> 00:31:18,860
We need people who do
the kind of pure science
812
00:31:18,860 --> 00:31:21,020
or fundamental science or basic science,
813
00:31:21,020 --> 00:31:23,960
I mean, all these words
are imprecise, all of them,
814
00:31:23,960 --> 00:31:27,170
namely who don't think about application.
815
00:31:27,170 --> 00:31:29,250
Our science is built
816
00:31:29,250 --> 00:31:30,590
upon a number
817
00:31:30,590 --> 00:31:33,900
of key results obtained through the same tests.

818

```
00:31:33,900 --> 00:31:35,240
If you look at each one,
819
00:31:35,240 --> 00:31:37,220
no one was looking for application,
820
00:31:37,220 --> 00:31:40,100
and if he had looked for
application at his own time,
821
00:31:40,100 --> 00:31:41,480
he wouldn't have got there.
822
00:31:41,480 --> 00:31:44,610
So, it's obvious that we need
to just ask the question,
823
00:31:44,610 --> 00:31:45,850
what's behind things?
824
00:31:45,850 --> 00:31:48,800
How can I understand better
at the fundamental level?
825
00:31:48,800 --> 00:31:51,150
Applications will come
out, sometimes fortunately,
826
00:31:51,150 --> 00:31:52,680
sometimes unfortunately
827
00:31:52,680 --> 00:31:55,810
because sometimes applications
are to kill people
828
00:31:55,810 --> 00:31:58,220
and to make war.
829
00:31:58,220 --> 00:32:00,720
```

- So, you're the organizer of a research initiative

830
00:32:00,720 --> 00:32:03,790
called The Quantum Information
Structure of Spacetime,
831
00:32:03,790 --> 00:32:05,810
and this brings together theorists,
832
00:32:05,810 --> 00:32:08,240
experimentalists, and philosophers.
833
00:32:08,240 --> 00:32:10,800
Can you tell us why you think it's so useful

834
00:32:10,800 --> 00:32:13,227
to bring together that group of people
835
00:32:13,227 --> 00:32:17,350
and what you're trying to
answer through this initiative?
836
00:32:17,350 --> 00:32:18,864

- Thank you for this question.

837
00:32:18,864 --> 00:32:21,040
The Quantum Information
Structure of Spacetime,
838
00:32:21,040 --> 00:32:24,810
the short name is QISS, QISS, written with a Q.

839
00:32:24,810 --> 00:32:28,380
It's a big consortium with big grants,
840
00:32:28,380 --> 00:32:31,400
mostly used for supporting young people.
841
00:32:31,400 --> 00:32:32,720
A group of PI here, in fact,
842
00:32:32,720 --> 00:32:34,570
two groups of PIs are part of it,
843
00:32:34,570 --> 00:32:38,000
and there are about dozens
of group all over the world,
844
00:32:38,000 --> 00:32:40,610
from Hong Kong to Mexico, to California,
845
00:32:40,610 --> 00:32:44,550
and the aim is to bring
together two communities,
846
00:32:44,550 --> 00:32:46,170
or actually three communities.
847
00:32:46,170 --> 00:32:48,047
The two communities
are quantum information
848
00:32:48,047 --> 00:32:49,640
and quantum gravity,
849
00:32:49,640 --> 00:32:51,820
and the idea is that
quantum gravity people
850
00:32:51,820 --> 00:32:54,590
have been using quantum information notions,

851
00:32:54,590 --> 00:32:56,770
or beginning to use it in various ways,

## 852

00:32:56,770 --> 00:32:59,950
and quantum information
people are starting to think
853
00:32:59,950 --> 00:33:04,260 about how quantum information works in space and time.

854
00:33:04,260 --> 00:33:05,390
Let me say it this way,
855
00:33:05,390 --> 00:33:07,101
and so the same problems are being addressed,

856
00:33:07,101 --> 00:33:08,710
but from a completely
different perspective.
857
00:33:08,710 --> 00:33:10,270
And the third community is philosophers
858
00:33:10,270 --> 00:33:13,610
because this dialogue opens
fundamental questions,
859
00:33:13,610 --> 00:33:15,770
like what we were saying before,
860
00:33:15,770 --> 00:33:18,350 the direction of time or the nature of what is information,

861
00:33:18,350 --> 00:33:21,530 what we mean by information, and in quantum gravity,

862
00:33:21,530 --> 00:33:23,950
it's a theory which is
not written in spacetime.

863
00:33:23,950 --> 00:33:26,470
So, in some sense, spacetime has to be rethought

864
00:33:26,470 --> 00:33:28,040
to come out on the theory in some way,

865
00:33:28,040 --> 00:33:29,310
and these are philosophical questions.

866
00:33:29,310 - -> 00:33:30,480
So, these are different communities

867
00:33:30,480 --> 00:33:33,890 which are being brought together, and in June this year,

868
00:33:33,890 --> 00:33:36,650 they will be not far from PI in London, Ontario,

869
00:33:36,650 --> 00:33:38,500
so it's just a short drive from PI,
870
00:33:38,500 --> 00:33:40,630
a conference bringing together this,

871
00:33:40,630 --> 00:33:43,490
and it's a conference
that we have organized.

872
00:33:43,490 --> 00:33:45,560
It's not a standard
conference with, you know,
873
$00: 33: 45,560 \rightarrow->00: 33: 48,320$

```
speeches and a few questions,
and, "Why do you say that?"
874
00:33:48,320 --> 00:33:51,890
Each half-day, we'll
have a few 10/15 minutes
875
00:33:51,890 --> 00:33:53,710
very short flash presentations,
876
00:33:53,710 --> 00:33:56,520
and then a couple of
hours of open discussion,
877
00:33:56,520 --> 00:33:58,720
everybody with everybody,
with a good chair,
878
00:33:58,720 --> 00:34:01,470
who sort of likes balance and follows.
879
00:34:01,470 --> 00:34:03,870
Discussion compares all points of view
880
00:34:03,870 --> 00:34:06,060
because there are these
communities, quantum information,
881
00:34:06,060 --> 00:34:09,230
quantum gravity, and philosophers
who look at these things,
82
00:34:09,230 --> 00:34:11,830
which have different ways
of viewing the same thing.
883
00:34:11,830 --> 00:34:14,890
So, we want to compare and, of course,
84
```

```
00:34:14,890 --> 00:34:16,710
learn from these differences.
885
00:34:16,710 --> 00:34:18,880
- Are there frustrations
that tend to come up
886
00:34:18,880 --> 00:34:22,220
when people with such different
backgrounds and education
887
00:34:22,220 --> 00:34:25,330
are trying to discuss a
topic with each other?
88
00:34:25,330 --> 00:34:27,500
- Yeah, there are things
you take for granted,
889
00:34:27,500 --> 00:34:29,270
then a person who's a good scientist
890
00:34:29,270 --> 00:34:30,370
comes to you and says, "You're wrong."
891
00:34:30,370 --> 00:34:32,380
You say, "Wait, (chuckles)
what do you mean I'm wrong,
892
00:34:32,380 --> 00:34:35,530
you are wrong," and that's frustrating,
893
00:34:35,530 --> 00:34:37,160
but that's great because I think
894
00:34:37,160 --> 00:34:38,627
that's how the process of knowledge works.
895
00:34:38,627 --> 00:34:40,930
```

You know, we learn from experiments,
896
00:34:40,930 --> 00:34:42,400
but we even more learn
897
00:34:42,400 --> 00:34:44,700
from continuous exchange of perspective,
898
00:34:44,700 --> 00:34:47,480
and the more we listen
to other perspectives,
899
00:34:47,480 --> 00:34:49,560
and that's a great opportunity, I think,
900
00:34:49,560 --> 00:34:51,530
because quantum information has boomed
901
00:34:51,530 --> 00:34:55,600
for various reasons in
the last decade, probably,
902
00:34:55,600 --> 00:34:57,470
and there are very good ideas there,
903
00:34:57,470 --> 00:34:59,480
which I think are relevant
for quantum gravity.
904
00:34:59,480 --> 00:35:01,860
On the other hand, the
people of quantum information
905
00:35:01,860 --> 00:35:03,560
are not aware that some of the things
906
00:35:03,560 --> 00:35:06,140
that they are struggling with
have already been addressed

907
00:35:06,140 --> 00:35:07,400
by quantum gravity, right?

## 908

00:35:07,400 --> 00:35:11,270
So, there's really a
dialogue to start here,
909
00:35:11,270 --> 00:35:13,240
and the philosopher
has an interest in both
910
00:35:13,240 --> 00:35:15,300
and have things to say about both.
911
00:35:15,300 --> 00:35:17,580
So, I hope that this dialogue in three,
912
00:35:17,580 --> 00:35:19,360
based not just in presentation,
913
00:35:19,360 --> 00:35:20,556
but in discussion, will work,
914
00:35:20,556 --> 00:35:21,750
and I look forward to that.
915
00:35:21,750 --> 00:35:23,480

- After two years of lockdown,

916
00:35:23,480 --> 00:35:26,340
of pandemics and people staying at home,
917
00:35:26,340 --> 00:35:27,900
have you felt, distinctly,
918
00:35:27,900 --> 00:35:30,770
the absence of in-person gatherings

919
00:35:30,770 --> 00:35:32,870
with other researchers and scientists,
920
00:35:32,870 --> 00:35:35,490
you know, getting together
in the same place?
921
00:35:35,490 --> 00:35:36,500

- A little bit, yes.

922
00:35:36,500 --> 00:35:38,100
For me, this has not been dramatic
923
00:35:38,100 --> 00:35:40,510
because we are all on the
internet, we're on Zoom,
924
00:35:40,510 --> 00:35:43,400
but being always on Zoom is also painful.
925
00:35:43,400 --> 00:35:46,590
This morning, finally, it's the first day I'm back

926
00:35:46,590 --> 00:35:48,750
in PI after so long, finally!
927
00:35:48,750 --> 00:35:52,430
I was with a young colleague
in front of a blackboard,
928
00:35:52,430 --> 00:35:54,910
writing things, and I said,
"No, wait, this is not,"
929
00:35:54,910 --> 00:35:57,130
as, oh, what a pleasure. (chuckles)

```
930
00:35:57,130 --> 00:35:58,820
It was so long that this didn't happen.
931
00:35:58,820 --> 00:36:01,680
- And from reading the website
for this QISS initiative,
932
00:36:01,680 --> 00:36:04,190
it seems that a major goal is to deepen
933
00:36:04,190 --> 00:36:06,520
the understanding of information.
934
00:36:06,520 --> 00:36:10,320
Could you just tell us what
you think of information
935
00:36:10,320 --> 00:36:12,940
as meaning and why you
think that information
936
00:36:12,940 --> 00:36:14,620
is so fundamental?
937
00:36:14,620 --> 00:36:16,713
- Information is a very tricky
world 'cause the spectral
938
00:36:16,713 --> 00:36:19,680
of meanings is very wide, extremely wide.
939
00:36:19,680 --> 00:36:22,150
When you talk about information,
people often get confused
940
00:36:22,150 --> 00:36:24,630
because in a debate, in a dialogue,
941
```

```
00:36:24,630 --> 00:36:25,480
people mean different things,
942
00:36:25,480 --> 00:36:28,950
and it goes from the most complex one,
943
00:36:28,950 --> 00:36:31,693
I mean, do you have
information about your father?
944
00:36:32,710 --> 00:36:34,380
Of course, I know a lot about my father,
945
00:36:34,380 --> 00:36:36,820
to the most basic one,
946
00:36:36,820 --> 00:36:38,810
my little card here
947
00:36:38,810 --> 00:36:41,900
contains so many megabytes of information.
948
00:36:41,900 --> 00:36:44,390
Obviously, the two are connected somehow,
949
00:36:44,390 --> 00:36:46,620
but the two are very different,
completely different.
950
00:36:46,620 --> 00:36:49,170
About your father is something
that has to do with meaning.
951
00:36:49,170 --> 00:36:52,180
In that case, with rather
even emotions, (chuckles)
952
00:36:52,180 --> 00:36:54,350
but certainly is interpreted
```

information in some sense,
953
00:36:54,350 --> 00:36:58,510
so it has to do with something
that needs to be decoded,
954
00:36:58,510 --> 00:37:00,640
that has to be translated.
955
00:37:00,640 --> 00:37:04,390
In the case of the memory
card, just counting something,
956
00:37:04,390 --> 00:37:05,960
it's a number of counting something.
957
00:37:05,960 --> 00:37:07,240
It's like counting the number of atoms,
958
00:37:07,240 --> 00:37:08,770
so counting the number of something.
959
00:37:08,770 --> 00:37:10,810
In this spectrum, what is interesting
960
00:37:10,810 --> 00:37:12,320
is exactly the spectrum,
961
00:37:12,320 --> 00:37:15,150
that it has so many possible meanings,
962
00:37:15,150 --> 00:37:17,550
but what's most interesting
is the basic one,
963
00:37:17,550 --> 00:37:18,720
and the basic one,
964

```
00:37:18,720 --> 00:37:21,210
```

there's a very simple
notion of information
965
00:37:21,210 --> 00:37:24,040
which is purely physical,
which is correlation,
966
00:37:24,040 --> 00:37:26,070
when two things know about one another.
967
00:37:26,070 --> 00:37:28,037
If you glue two things, if
one points in one direction,
968
00:37:28,037 --> 00:37:30,164
the other also points in that direction.
969
00:37:30,164 --> 00:37:31,640
If you know one, you know the other one.
970
00:37:31,640 --> 00:37:33,180
So, one has information about that,
971
00:37:33,180 --> 00:37:35,070
meaning that there is a correlation between the two.

972
00:37:35,070 --> 00:37:35,993
That's the basic notion of information,
973
00:37:35,993 --> 00:37:38,140
it's purely physical, nothing mental,
974
00:37:38,140 --> 00:37:39,730
no meaning, no significance.
975
00:37:39,730 --> 00:37:41,030
That's the basic notion of information,

976
00:37:41,030 --> 00:37:43,560
and I think this notion of
information is fundamental,
977
00:37:43,560 --> 00:37:45,347
not because the world
is made by information,
978
00:37:45,347 --> 00:37:47,070
the world is made by stuff,
979
00:37:47,070 --> 00:37:48,890
by variables, by things which are,
980
00:37:48,890 --> 00:37:52,710
but because the world is made by relations,

981
00:37:52,710 --> 00:37:55,500
the properties of things are relative to something else.

982
00:37:55,500 --> 00:37:57,067
So, if you want to describe the structure of the world,

983
00:37:57,067 --> 00:37:59,360
you're always talking about how one thing
984
00:37:59,360 --> 00:38:00,330
affects another one,
985
00:38:00,330 --> 00:38:02,290
so how they get correlated
to the other one.
986
00:38:02,290 --> 00:38:05,120
So, immediately, you can quantify

987
00:38:05,120 --> 00:38:07,180
how much things affect one another
988
00:38:07,180 --> 00:38:09,780
by using the notion of
information, and this means,
989
00:38:09,780 --> 00:38:11,840
not information as an
ingredient of the world,
990
00:38:11,840 --> 00:38:14,600 but as a key ingredient of our thinking about the world.

991
00:38:14,600 --> 00:38:16,240
I think quantum mechanics itself
992
00:38:16,240 --> 00:38:18,380
can be largely thought of in this way.
993
00:38:18,380 --> 00:38:20,470
I've been thinking this
since back in the '90s,
994
00:38:20,470 --> 00:38:21,930
when I started thinking about the notion
995
00:38:21,930 --> 00:38:24,040
of quantum mechanics, and because of that,
996
00:38:24,040 --> 00:38:25,400
I think, in quantum gravity,
997
00:38:25,400 --> 00:38:27,740
it also could be a
fundamental role to thinking

998
00:38:27,740 --> 00:38:30,110
about information systems
helping out one another,
999
00:38:30,110 --> 00:38:33,820
but once we go into this way of thinking about physics,

1000
00:38:33,820 --> 00:38:35,550
not as how systems are,
1001
00:38:35,550 --> 00:38:37,700
but how systems have information about all of that,

1002
00:38:37,700 --> 00:38:39,490
how they're correlated, then it's easier
1003
00:38:39,490 --> 00:38:41,640
to understand that there's a continuity
1004
00:38:41,640 --> 00:38:43,980
to the most complicated
notion of information.
1005
00:38:43,980 --> 00:38:48,500
So, the mental is not so far
away from the physical now
1006
00:38:48,500 --> 00:38:50,440
'cause we start taking the physical
1007
00:38:50,440 --> 00:38:51,770
from the right perspective,
1008
00:38:51,770 --> 00:38:53,670
from which it's easier to reconstruct
1009

```
00:38:53,670 --> 00:38:56,020
the more complicated
notion of information,
1010
00:38:56,020 --> 00:38:58,800
meaning, for instance, we
talk about memory traces,
1011
00:38:58,800 --> 00:39:01,090
and we build it up more
and more complicated.
1012
00:39:01,090 --> 00:39:04,350
So, it's a very versatile,
rich, confusing,
1013
00:39:04,350 --> 00:39:07,760
but key notion for understanding
the world information
1014
00:39:07,760 --> 00:39:11,430
because the world is made
by relations, not by things.
1015
00:39:11,430 --> 00:39:13,280
- In reality, it's not what it seems.
1016
00:39:13,280 --> 00:39:14,113
There's a passage that I love,
1017
00:39:14,113 --> 00:39:15,930
and I even told you
about it after I read it,
1018
00:39:15,930 --> 00:39:17,630
because you go through so much of the book
1019
00:39:17,630 --> 00:39:21,260
explaining the historical
context of quantum gravity
```

1020
00:39:21,260 --> 00:39:24,190 and explaining the concepts in very easy-to-follow terms, 1021
00:39:24,190 --> 00:39:26,350
which I appreciate, and then later in the book,

1022
00:39:26,350 --> 00:39:29,170
you say, "If, dear reader, you have found the journey

1023
00:39:29,170 --> 00:39:31,550
so far a little rough, hold on tighter
1024
00:39:31,550 --> 00:39:34,390 because we're now flying between voids of air."

1025
00:39:34,390 --> 00:39:36,957
And then you get into your
new ideas, which you said,
1026
00:39:36,957 --> 00:39:39,090
"If the ideas seem confused,
1027
00:39:39,090 --> 00:39:41,640
it's because the person with
the confused mind is me."
1028
00:39:41,640 --> 00:39:44,890
First of all, that's
something I don't often see
1029
00:39:44,890 --> 00:39:48,010
in popular science books, is
the warning to the reader,
1030

```
00:39:48,010 --> 00:39:50,870
like, it's okay to be shaken by this,
1031
00:39:50,870 --> 00:39:52,520
it's okay to not fully get it.
1032
00:39:52,520 --> 00:39:55,290
So, you gave this warning,
and then you expressed ideas
1033
00:39:55,290 --> 00:39:58,570
that you personally don't
have a full concept of.
1034
00:39:58,570 --> 00:40:00,870
Can you explain a little
bit about what that's like,
1035
00:40:00,870 --> 00:40:04,200
going into territory that
is less historically sound
1036
00:40:04,200 --> 00:40:06,540
and more speculative, and
putting your own ideas out
1037
00:40:06,540 --> 00:40:07,640
on the line like that?
1038
00:40:08,800 --> 00:40:11,371
- There is a lot about
the world we understand,
1039
00:40:11,371 --> 00:40:13,320
and there's a lot we don't understand.
1040
00:40:13,320 --> 00:40:15,670
It doesn't make much sense
1 0 4 1
```

```
00:40:15,670 --> 00:40:17,630
what we too often do, in my opinion,
1042
00:40:17,630 --> 00:40:19,510
which is to pretend that we understand
1043
00:40:19,510 --> 00:40:21,780
the part we don't understand.
1044
00:40:21,780 --> 00:40:24,480
University professors that
teach and lecture on physics,
1045
00:40:24,480 --> 00:40:26,270
they shouldn't pretend that
they know everything about it.
1046
00:40:26,270 --> 00:40:28,910
They don't know about it,
and they may be wrong.
1047
00:40:28,910 --> 00:40:30,760
I mean, they might be teaching
things which are wrong,
1048
00:40:30,760 --> 00:40:32,803
so they should say, look,
this is what we understand,
1049
00:40:32,803 --> 00:40:34,760
this is what I understand,
1050
00:40:34,760 --> 00:40:37,171
and be aware that there
might be something wrong,
1 0 5 1
00:40:37,171 --> 00:40:38,750
and if there's something confusing,
1052
```

```
00:40:38,750 --> 00:40:41,030
it might well be because it is confusing
1053
00:40:41,030 --> 00:40:43,200
or because a person is confused
1054
00:40:43,200 --> 00:40:44,900
or because the community is confused.
1055
00:40:44,900 --> 00:40:46,370
A good example is quantum mechanics.
1056
00:40:46,370 --> 00:40:49,320
Quantum mechanics is a hundred years old,
1057
00:40:49,320 --> 00:40:50,810
spectacularly successful,
1058
00:40:50,810 --> 00:40:53,220
used in technological
applications everywhere,
1059
00:40:53,220 --> 00:40:54,850
but it's still confusing,
1060
00:40:54,850 --> 00:40:56,840
and the fact it's confusing may be good
1061
00:40:56,840 --> 00:40:59,830
because it may be that we
still haven't got something,
1062
00:40:59,830 --> 00:41:01,630
some right way of looking at it,
1063
00:41:01,630 --> 00:41:03,450
so let's say it's confusing.
1064
```

```
00:41:03,450 --> 00:41:06,190
I think this makes, also, things easier
1065
00:41:06,190 --> 00:41:09,540
for the student at university
or for the reader of a book
1066
00:41:09,540 --> 00:41:13,509
who is not presented this, you know,
1067
00:41:13,509 --> 00:41:18,130
both white, clean piece of stone
saying, this is it, period.
1068
00:41:18,130 --> 00:41:19,340
We are humans.
1069
00:41:19,340 --> 00:41:21,710
Science is a human activity,
like everything else.
1070
00:41:21,710 --> 00:41:24,606
It's dirty, it's
imprecise, there are holes,
1071
00:41:24,606 --> 00:41:27,210
so I think it's better
to present what it is.
1072
00:41:27,210 --> 00:41:29,260
- I connected with the
idea that you yourself
1073
00:41:29,260 --> 00:41:30,867
are struggling with these ideas,
1074
00:41:30,867 --> 00:41:33,410
and when you read a book by
an expert on any subject,
```

1075
00:41:33,410 --> 00:41:35,700
you assume the expert knows everything,
1076
00:41:35,700 --> 00:41:37,250
and for you to say later
in the book, you know,
1077
00:41:37,250 --> 00:41:39,500
here's what I'm grappling with, it helps.
1078
00:41:39,500 --> 00:41:40,960
I think it helps the reader understand
1079
00:41:40,960 --> 00:41:42,120
that this is a difficult,
1080
00:41:42,120 --> 00:41:44,020
complex pursuit.

- Yeah, my book,

1081
00:41:44,020 --> 00:41:46,860
my books are a little
bit different in spirit
1082
00:41:46,860 --> 00:41:50,860
from most popular science books
because they also are aimed
1083
00:41:50,860 --> 00:41:53,930
at a slightly different
audience, and in fact,
1084
00:41:53,930 --> 00:41:57,910
I've remarked, from the reactions that I get,

1085
00:41:57,910 --> 00:42:01,830
that the typical reader of science books

1086
00:42:01,830 --> 00:42:05,790
likes my books less, and
the people who like my book
1087
00:42:05,790 --> 00:42:07,420
are a little on the extreme sides,
1088
00:42:07,420 --> 00:42:10,460
are either people who
know zero about science
1089
00:42:10,460 --> 00:42:12,400
or people who know a lot about science.
1090
00:42:12,400 --> 00:42:14,660
And I understand the
reason because I think,
1091
00:42:14,660 --> 00:42:16,150
at these two extreme categories,
1092
00:42:16,150 --> 00:42:19,000
when I'm talking about them, the typical science book,

1093
00:42:19,000 --> 00:42:21,630
it's written for somebody who wants to know more and more

1094
00:42:21,630 --> 00:42:23,750
and more and more and
more about some domain,
1095
00:42:23,750 --> 00:42:25,587
so you give more details, you give more information.

1096
00:42:25,587 --> 00:42:27,550
You say, "Oh, and we know

```
that, and we know that,
1097
00:42:27,550 --> 00:42:30,390
and we know that," and
you know, there are kids,
1098
00:42:30,390 --> 00:42:32,290
nerds that really want to
know more and more and more
1099
00:42:32,290 --> 00:42:35,290
about the neutrinos and all the
possible details about that.
1100
00:42:35,290 --> 00:42:36,890
I don't do that, I do the opposite.
1101
00:42:36,890 --> 00:42:38,980
I take away, I take away, I take away.
1102
00:42:38,980 --> 00:42:41,240
I strip away as much as possible,
1103
00:42:41,240 --> 00:42:44,800
trying to reduce to what
seems to be the core
1104
00:42:44,800 --> 00:42:47,470
that we have understood about something
1105
00:42:47,470 --> 00:42:50,210
and to present it in a way
that it stays together,
1106
00:42:50,210 --> 00:42:53,757
it holds on, and allows the
reader to understand what it is
1107
00:42:53,757 --> 00:42:57,000
```

and what seems to me the real thing we have understood.

1108
00:42:57,000 --> 00:42:58,110
And then, of course,
1109
00:42:58,110 --> 00:43:00,060
those who know nothing
about science, they like it,
1110
00:43:00,060 --> 00:43:01,890
because they say, "Oh,
great, I get to that, yeah,"
1111
00:43:01,890 --> 00:43:04,820
and those who know a lot about science also like it,

1112
00:43:04,820 --> 00:43:06,410 because they say, "Oh, wow, that's a good way

1113
00:43:06,410 --> 00:43:08,220
of doing things, maybe
I didn't think this way,
1114
00:43:08,220 --> 00:43:09,410
maybe I was thinking the other way.
1115
00:43:09,410 --> 00:43:11,910
That's a new perspective on things."
1116
00:43:11,910 --> 00:43:14,047
And a lot of my best colleagues tell me,
1117
00:43:14,047 --> 00:43:16,310
"Ah, I have read your book, wow!
1118
00:43:16,310 --> 00:43:18,140

I didn't think about
this way of putting it.
1119
00:43:18,140 --> 00:43:20,070
Great, great, I learned something."
1120
00:43:20,070 --> 00:43:23,150
Even my greatest enemy, the chief of the opposite, you know,

1121
00:43:23,150 --> 00:43:26,690 band theoretical physics, who is a Nobel Prize winner,

1122
00:43:26,690 --> 00:43:29,720
sent a message to me and said, "Fantastic, I loved it."

1123
00:43:29,720 --> 00:43:31,560
But the students of physics,
1124
00:43:31,560 --> 00:43:34,350
who've just studied that at school,
1125
00:43:34,350 --> 00:43:35,980
reads what I'm saying and knows,
1126
00:43:35,980 --> 00:43:37,350
because they say, "Wait a minute, I mean,
1127
00:43:37,350 --> 00:43:38,580
there's all missing here."
1128
00:43:38,580 --> 00:43:40,437
I got an email saying,
1129
00:43:40,437 --> 00:43:44,330
"You talk about quantum
mechanics in the seven lessons.

1130
00:43:44,330 --> 00:43:46,420
You don't even mention
the Schrödinger equation."
1131
00:43:46,420 --> 00:43:47,396
I thought, yeah, that's right,
1132
00:43:47,396 --> 00:43:48,881
I don't mention the Schrödinger equation,
1133
00:43:48,881 --> 00:43:50,480
but that's not the core.

- The right story.

1134
00:43:50,480 --> 00:43:53,340

- Right, so yes, and this connects to your question,

1135
00:43:53,340 --> 00:43:55,900
what you were saying
before, because to do that,
1136
00:43:55,900 --> 00:43:58,640
you need to understand
something all the way through.
1137
00:43:58,640 --> 00:44:02,020
So, once we have totally
digested something,
1138
00:44:02,020 --> 00:44:04,930
then you can just, bingo,
you know, in one phrase.
1139
00:44:04,930 --> 00:44:06,490

- You can whittle it down to the essence.

1140
00:44:06,490 --> 00:44:07,790

- To the essence, right?
- Yeah.

1141
00:44:07,790 --> 00:44:09,207

- I mean, take Copernicus.

1142
00:44:09,207 --> 00:44:11,480
If you read the book of Copernicus,
1143
00:44:11,480 --> 00:44:16,400
300 pages of calculations, detail, geometry, perspective.

1144
00:44:16,400 --> 00:44:18,987
It's horrendously
complicated, then the equant,
1145
00:44:18,987 --> 00:44:21,697
the epicycles still, and
then this and this and that,
1146
00:44:21,697 --> 00:44:25,100
and to make this complicated, and the moon is complicated.

1147
00:44:25,100 --> 00:44:27,960
What is it, 400 years
have gone from Copernicus?
1148
00:44:27,960 --> 00:44:30,410
Now we have digested everything, can say it in two lines,

1149
00:44:30,410 --> 00:44:31,917
the earth is spinning
1150
00:44:31,917 --> 00:44:33,760 and is orbiting around the sun.

1151

```
00:44:33,760 --> 00:44:36,950
That's what Copernicus has
qualified, so it's totally clear.
1152
00:44:36,950 --> 00:44:39,540
It's strange if you think
about we are actually moving.
1153
00:44:39,540 --> 00:44:41,020
It's revolutionary.
1154
00:44:41,020 --> 00:44:42,127
It changes everything.
1155
00:44:42,127 --> 00:44:43,960
The earth isn't violent, like the others,
1156
00:44:43,960 --> 00:44:45,820
but it can be said in two lines.
1157
00:44:45,820 --> 00:44:48,080
Once we have really understood something,
1158
00:44:48,080 --> 00:44:49,650
at the end, we can say it in two lines
1159
00:44:49,650 --> 00:44:52,610
in a way that has it, has it really,
1160
00:44:52,610 --> 00:44:54,140
and the people understand what it is.
1161
00:44:54,140 --> 00:44:56,640
So, my ambition, but we're not there,
1162
00:44:56,640 --> 00:44:59,450
would be to do the same I
just did with Copernicus,
```

1163
00:44:59,450 --> 00:45:01,390
the same with, you know,
the standard models,
1164
00:45:01,390 --> 00:45:03,990
special relativity, general
relativity, quantum mechanics,
1165
00:45:03,990 --> 00:45:05,820
quantum field theory, quantum gravity.
1166
00:45:05,820 --> 00:45:08,007

- You said in one piece you wrote,

1167
00:45:08,007 --> 00:45:09,750
"Sometimes dreams come true.
1168
00:45:09,750 --> 00:45:11,820
I felt there was a story
about the adventure
1169
00:45:11,820 --> 00:45:13,440
of physics that had to be told,
1170
00:45:13,440 --> 00:45:15,420
but I thought people were not interested,"
1171
00:45:15,420 --> 00:45:16,410
but you were wrong.
1172
00:45:16,410 --> 00:45:18,610
You were dead wrong because a
lot of people were interested.
1173
00:45:18,610 --> 00:45:20,450
You know, millions of
people have read your book.
1174

```
00:45:20,450 --> 00:45:22,890
It's translated into dozens of languages.
1175
00:45:22,890 --> 00:45:23,900
What do you think you got wrong
1176
00:45:23,900 --> 00:45:26,542
about estimating people's
interest in this subject matter?
1177
00:45:26,542 --> 00:45:29,480
- (laughs) It was not just me wrong,
1178
00:45:29,480 --> 00:45:31,870
the "Seven Brief Lessons on Physics"
1179
00:45:31,870 --> 00:45:35,000
was printed in about 5,000 copies.
1180
00:45:35,000 --> 00:45:37,360
That was the estimate of the publisher
1181
00:45:37,360 --> 00:45:39,020
that was going to sell.
- Yeah.
1182
00:45:39,020 --> 00:45:40,930
Do you think it was
because you whittled down
1183
00:45:40,930 --> 00:45:43,110
to the essence and you
left out the equations?
1184
00:45:43,110 --> 00:45:45,010
- No, I think it's because,
1185
00:45:45,010 --> 00:45:47,830
mostly because of the last
```

chapter of the seventh.
1186
00:45:47,830 --> 00:45:49,980
I think that's what made the book.
1187
00:45:49,980 --> 00:45:52,550
It's a book that is
not just about physics,
1188
00:45:52,550 --> 00:45:55,590
it's a book that tries
to go down to the essence
1189
00:45:55,590 --> 00:45:57,580
and then asks the question, all right,
1190
00:45:57,580 --> 00:45:59,100
so what does it mean for us?
1191
00:45:59,100 --> 00:46:02,730
How does it reflect on
the way we see ourself
1192
00:46:02,730 --> 00:46:06,010 and the way we think about the material, the physical world,

1193
00:46:06,010 --> 00:46:08,370
and our, let me use a strong
word, spiritual world.
1194
00:46:08,370 --> 00:46:11,270
Let me tell you my interpretation of that.
1195
00:46:11,270 --> 00:46:14,400
I and my friends, and
many people around me,
1196
00:46:14,400 --> 00:46:18,300
share a view of the world which is not much known

1197
00:46:18,300 --> 00:46:21,290
by a large majority of the population,
1198
00:46:21,290 --> 00:46:25,280
and it's a view of the
world that is neither
1199
00:46:25,280 --> 00:46:27,060
the world is made by little atoms
1200
00:46:27,060 --> 00:46:29,770
bouncing with one another and that's it,
1201
00:46:29,770 --> 00:46:32,060
emotions, the sense of lives, whatever,
1202
00:46:32,060 --> 00:46:34,230
that's bullshit that comes later.
1203
00:46:34,230 --> 00:46:36,310
It's neither that, but it's neither
1204
00:46:37,200 --> 00:46:39,010
the material world is irrelevant,
1205
00:46:39,010 --> 00:46:43,580
there is a spiritual world, with God, morality and things,

1206
00:46:43,580 --> 00:46:45,930
that's what the reality is.
1207
00:46:45,930 --> 00:46:48,710
Somehow, a lot of people
are unhappy with both

```
1208
00:46:48,710 --> 00:46:51,600
because they don't believe
the spiritual world
1209
00:46:51,600 --> 00:46:54,110
too much anymore because
we're in a secular society,
1210
00:46:54,110 --> 00:46:56,890
which doesn't hold anymore
for a large number of people,
1 2 1 1
00:46:56,890 --> 00:46:59,650
but neither people find convincing,
1212
00:46:59,650 --> 00:47:02,620
a sort of cold and ground cynicism,
1213
00:47:02,620 --> 00:47:06,120
which has no hold for
meaning, for emotion,
1214
00:47:06,120 --> 00:47:08,830
for our aim, for what we are thinking
1215
00:47:08,830 --> 00:47:10,600
and desiring and suffering.
1216
00:47:10,600 --> 00:47:13,763
So, the fact that somehow
people find in my book
1217
00:47:13,763 --> 00:47:15,520
a perspective where the two things
1218
00:47:15,520 --> 00:47:16,850
can very well stay together,
1 2 1 9
```

```
00:47:16,850 --> 00:47:18,790
and there's no contradiction
between one another,
1220
00:47:18,790 --> 00:47:22,527
is when a lot of people jump in and say,
1221
00:47:22,527 --> 00:47:24,890
"Oh," but then there
are people who can think
1222
00:47:24,890 --> 00:47:27,360
that what matters for me is my emotions,
1223
00:47:27,360 --> 00:47:29,390
but also, there's nothing in contradiction
1224
00:47:29,390 --> 00:47:30,880
with fundamental science there.
1225
00:47:30,880 --> 00:47:32,490
I think that this is the bringing together
1226
00:47:32,490 --> 00:47:33,860
that made people react.
1227
00:47:33,860 --> 00:47:37,060
- And regarding this goal
that you have in your writing
1228
00:47:37,060 --> 00:47:40,140
of getting to the essence of a concept,
1229
00:47:40,140 --> 00:47:42,110
I would think this would
be uniquely challenging
1230
00:47:42,110 --> 00:47:44,100
when you're talking
```

```
about something like time
1231
00:47:44,100 --> 00:47:47,940
because the average person
will probably talk about time
1232
00:47:47,940 --> 00:47:49,480
at least once in a typical day.
1233
00:47:49,480 --> 00:47:51,890
So, there must be a lot
that you need to strip away
1234
00:47:51,890 --> 00:47:54,390
because the average person
has a lot of assumptions
1 2 3 5
00:47:54,390 --> 00:47:56,970
that they're making about this word, time.
1236
00:47:56,970 --> 00:47:59,630
Can you tell us about
what that process was like
1237
00:47:59,630 --> 00:48:01,630
when you're describing time?
1238
00:48:01,630 --> 00:48:05,300
- I wrote a book just
uniquely, entirely about time,
1239
00:48:05,300 --> 00:48:07,450
and that was not an easy-to-write book
1240
00:48:07,450 --> 00:48:10,760
because exactly for the
reason you're asking.
1 2 4 1
00:48:10,760 --> 00:48:12,390
```

When I decided how to write this book,
1242
00:48:12,390 --> 00:48:14,443
I exactly asked myself this question.
1243
00:48:15,460 --> 00:48:18,500
And so, the first half, the longest half of the book,

1244
00:48:18,500 --> 00:48:21,500
one chapter after chapter demolishing something

1245
00:48:21,500 --> 00:48:23,370
we take for granted, our time,
1246
00:48:23,370 --> 00:48:25,600
and on good grounds, on things we know.
1247
00:48:25,600 --> 00:48:28,020
So, we think that time has
this property, and you know,
1248
00:48:28,020 --> 00:48:30,150
it seems obvious to be that, that's the way we think,

1249
00:48:30,150 --> 00:48:32,550
and now I tell you it's not the case,
1250
00:48:32,550 --> 00:48:34,730
and I show why we know it's not the case.
1251
00:48:34,730 --> 00:48:37,392
So, the first part of the book, in part,
1252
00:48:37,392 --> 00:48:40,320
it's just a way of telling
Boltzmann's theory,

1253
00:48:40,320 --> 00:48:42,480
of telling Einstein's special relativity,
1254
00:48:42,480 --> 00:48:45,310
putting the various pieces
of the story together,
1255
00:48:45,310 --> 00:48:47,120
but not just for talking about physics,
1256
00:48:47,120 --> 00:48:49,060
for talking, look, what this implies
1257
00:48:49,060 --> 00:48:50,940
with respect to our notion of time.
1258
00:48:50,940 --> 00:48:54,270
Special relativity definitely changes our notion of time.

1259
00:48:54,270 --> 00:48:57,400
Notion of present everywhere
in the universe doesn't hold,
1260
00:48:57,400 --> 00:48:59,190
but it's hard to think of
the world without a notion
1261
00:48:59,190 --> 00:49:00,940
of present everywhere in the universe.
1262
00:49:00,940 --> 00:49:03,560
The question, what is going on right now on Andromeda,

1263
00:49:03,560 --> 00:49:05,580
the galaxy, is meaningless.

1264
00:49:05,580 --> 00:49:07,940
There's no meaning, what is going on right now.

1265
00:49:07,940 --> 00:49:09,850
There's no now in Andromeda.
1266
00:49:09,850 --> 00:49:12,990
It's like asking, what is
going on here in Beijing?
1267
00:49:12,990 --> 00:49:14,800
We're not in Beijing, so
it's not here in Beijing,
1268
00:49:14,800 --> 00:49:16,010 Beijing is elsewhere.

1269
00:49:16,010 --> 00:49:18,960
The book was designed exactly
1270
00:49:18,960 --> 00:49:20,930
to address what you are saying,
1271
00:49:20,930 --> 00:49:24,770
to take away, one by one,
the suppositions of people
1272
00:49:24,770 --> 00:49:27,830
that the people give
for granted in science.
1273
00:49:27,830 --> 00:49:30,503
Some of my colleagues which are very reflective,

1274
00:49:30,503 --> 00:49:32,590
a written book, there's
absolutely nothing they learn

1275
00:49:32,590 --> 00:49:35,220 because they have gone through that,

1276
00:49:35,220 --> 00:49:36,770
but there are a lot of my colleagues
1277
00:49:36,770 --> 00:49:39,270
that teach special relativity and never have stopped

1278
00:49:39,270 --> 00:49:41,140
in thinking what,
actually, they're teaching,
1279
00:49:41,140 --> 00:49:42,350 and I think that's wrong.

1280
00:49:42,350 --> 00:49:45,390
I mean, physics is interesting because it tells us something

1281
00:49:45,390 --> 00:49:48,480
about the world, not just
because you write equations,
1282
00:49:48,480 --> 00:49:49,910
and then you make a prediction
1283
00:49:49,910 --> 00:49:51,520
and it matches with what you measured.
1284
00:49:51,520 --> 00:49:53,283

- Are you yet at a
stage where you can give
1285
00:49:53,283 --> 00:49:56,370
just this one or two sentence definitions

1286
00:49:56,370 --> 00:49:58,523
that's the core of what time is?
1287
00:49:59,450 --> 00:50:02,370

- No, with time, it's
very complicated, (laughs)
1288
00:50:02,370 --> 00:50:04,720
and the reason is because
we haven't got to the end
1289
00:50:04,720 --> 00:50:06,350
of understanding it.
1290
00:50:06,350 --> 00:50:07,930
There are things about time
1291
00:50:07,930 --> 00:50:09,610 which I think were generally confused.

1292
00:50:09,610 --> 00:50:12,550
A lot of things we have
figured out with total clarity,
1293
00:50:12,550 --> 00:50:14,440
like the nonexistence of a present
1294
00:50:14,440 --> 00:50:15,650
everywhere in the universe,
1295
00:50:15,650 --> 00:50:18,180
but there are issues about how to think about time

1296
00:50:18,180 --> 00:50:20,240
in a wholly consistent way
with everything we know,
1297

```
00:50:20,240 --> 00:50:21,850
which I don't think we'll figure out.
1298
00:50:21,850 --> 00:50:24,730
Even the direction of time is implicated
1299
00:50:24,730 --> 00:50:26,830
because we have connected it to entropy,
1300
00:50:26,830 --> 00:50:28,390
to the microscopic description,
1301
00:50:28,390 --> 00:50:29,783
but there are definitely holes
1302
00:50:29,783 --> 00:50:31,800
in our understanding, in my opinion.
1303
00:50:31,800 --> 00:50:33,530
- I found that book, "The Order of Time",
1304
00:50:33,530 --> 00:50:37,480
to really make my brain meld
and squish in other directions.
1305
00:50:37,480 --> 00:50:39,970
I was listening to the audio
book, and at one point,
1306
00:50:39,970 --> 00:50:44,030
I was rushing somewhere, and
something you wrote about time
1307
00:50:44,030 --> 00:50:45,930
made me think, why am I rushing anywhere,
1308
00:50:45,930 --> 00:50:48,280
a rush is an illusion.
(Carlo laughing)
```

1309
00:50:48,280 --> 00:50:50,450
So, I appreciated your writing of that book.

1310
00:50:50,450 --> 00:50:53,610
I also really liked Benedict
Cumberbatch reading it to me.
1311
00:50:53,610 --> 00:50:56,150
That was a nice experience.
1312
00:50:56,150 --> 00:50:59,161
Boy, it helps when these concepts are-
1313
00:50:59,161 --> 00:51:00,643

- He's astonishingly good.
- Are so mind-bending.

1314
00:51:00,643 --> 00:51:01,960

- Yeah, he's really good.
- Yes, yes,

1315
00:51:01,960 --> 00:51:04,487
I've been listening to
his voice and saying,
1316
00:51:04,487 --> 00:51:05,987
"Wow, who wrote these wonderful things,"
1317
00:51:05,987 --> 00:51:09,150
because it's too good for,
it's certainly not me.
1318
00:51:09,150 --> 00:51:11,660
He has a way of making it a principle,
1319
00:51:11,660 --> 00:51:13,990 but also, it's like it's talking to you

1320
00:51:13,990 --> 00:51:16,324
and telling you like a friend-
1321
00:51:16,324 --> 00:51:18,980

- Yeah, but we actually have another student question

1322
00:51:18,980 --> 00:51:20,550 about your books.

- Yeah.

1323
00:51:20,550 --> 00:51:23,080
This question was sent in by a PhD student
1324
00:51:23,080 --> 00:51:24,400 here at Perimeter.

1325
00:51:24,400 --> 00:51:27,780

- This is Mac Du Shen, a
student at IQC in Perimeter,
1326
00:51:27,780 --> 00:51:29,060
writing, how did you first get started
1327
00:51:29,060 --> 00:51:30,408
into writing science books,
1328
00:51:30,408 --> 00:51:32,850
and how has that writing
helped your own research?
1329
00:51:32,850 --> 00:51:34,340
Do you find writing a technical paper
1330
00:51:34,340 --> 00:51:36,513
or a more accessible book more challenging?

1331
00:51:37,950 --> 00:51:41,000

- Probably a book for a general audience.

1332
00:51:41,000 --> 00:51:42,970
You write a paper, a scientific paper,
1333
00:51:42,970 --> 00:51:44,810
after you've figured something out.
1334
00:51:44,810 --> 00:51:47,060
So, you just get confused in a problem,
1335
00:51:47,060 --> 00:51:51,040
and either alone or with some somebody else in physics,

1336
00:51:51,040 --> 00:51:53,110 we worked mostly in little groups,

1337
00:51:53,110 --> 00:51:55,940
two or three in theoretical
physics, you sort of come out,
1338
00:51:55,940 --> 00:51:57,580
and at some point, it's clear,
1339
00:51:57,580 --> 00:51:58,770
and then you write it down,
1340
00:51:58,770 --> 00:52:00,590
and you write down what you've understood.
1341
00:52:00,590 --> 00:52:02,680
So, the writing is relatively easy.
1342
00:52:02,680 --> 00:52:05,580
I'm picky, I'm complicated in writing scientific articles.

1343
00:52:05,580 --> 00:52:07,810
I try to write them clear,
1344
00:52:07,810 --> 00:52:10,310
so I spend time writing and rewriting and rewriting,

1345
00:52:10,310 --> 00:52:13,180
perhaps more than what I should or could.
1346
00:52:13,180 --> 00:52:16,220
But the writing is, you know what you're saying exactly,

1347
00:52:16,220 --> 00:52:17,950 while when you're writing a book,

1348
00:52:17,950 --> 00:52:19,660
you still don't know what you're saying.
1349
00:52:19,660 --> 00:52:23,270
You're picking up what you're
saying in the writing itself,
1350
00:52:23,270 --> 00:52:25,600
and writing, for me, is
a complicated process.
1351
00:52:25,600 --> 00:52:29,050
My books are the result of
a large number of revisions
1352
00:52:29,050 --> 00:52:31,280
and a large number of cancellations,
1353
00:52:31,280 --> 00:52:33,960
because I say, no, this is
not useful for what comes,

1354
00:52:33,960 --> 00:52:35,590
and that's just superfluous.
1355
00:52:35,590 --> 00:52:39,067
So, the same phrase, I
rewrite it 10 times and say,
1356
00:52:39,067 --> 00:52:40,480
"No, that's not clear, I mean,
1357
00:52:40,480 --> 00:52:42,070
is there a way to say it better?"
1358
00:52:42,070 --> 00:52:43,930
That's the heart of writing for me.
1359
00:52:43,930 --> 00:52:45,090

- I was just gonna say, for you,

1360
00:52:45,090 --> 00:52:47,610
it seems that this must be particularly challenging

1361
00:52:47,610 --> 00:52:48,900
because you're not just writing
1362
00:52:48,900 --> 00:52:50,280
a book for the general public.
1363
00:52:50,280 --> 00:52:52,690
As you said, you're hoping that your book
1364
00:52:52,690 --> 00:52:54,730
will be influential
for the general public,
1365
00:52:54,730 --> 00:52:57,060
and also for experts in the field,
1366
00:52:57,060 --> 00:52:59,610
even though the material is not technical
1367
00:52:59,610 --> 00:53:01,350
or maybe doesn't rely on math.
1368
00:53:01,350 --> 00:53:05,080
So, I would assume writing something that can be a good fit

1369
00:53:05,080 --> 00:53:08,070
for both of those audiences
must be very challenging.
1370
00:53:08,070 --> 00:53:11,090

- Yes, it is, and I have
these two readers in mind,
1371
00:53:11,090 --> 00:53:13,450
the super expert one who knows everything,
1372
00:53:13,450 --> 00:53:15,440
and the one who knows nothing,
1373
00:53:15,440 --> 00:53:19,060
which is good because thinking
like the super expert one
1374
00:53:19,060 --> 00:53:21,930
is what, you know, warns me
from not saying something,
1375
00:53:21,930 --> 00:53:25,247
which is, oh, if I say that, he's gonna complain, (chuckles)

1376
00:53:25,247 --> 00:53:28,050
and thinking about the typical grandmother
1377
00:53:28,050 --> 00:53:29,573
who doesn't know anything
stops me from saying things,
1378
00:53:29,573 --> 00:53:31,270
oh, but that's too complicated, I mean,
1379
00:53:31,270 --> 00:53:32,950
how can anybody get this point?
1380
00:53:32,950 --> 00:53:34,640
So, I always have these struggles,
1381
00:53:34,640 --> 00:53:37,670
so this simplifies because
it gives me guidance,
1382
00:53:37,670 --> 00:53:39,780
but yes, it's also a complication to try
1383
00:53:39,780 --> 00:53:42,660
because I'm putting my
own ideas in the books,
1384
00:53:42,660 --> 00:53:45,210
mostly because it's my
own perspective of things,
1385
00:53:45,210 --> 00:53:47,450
writing a book of quantum mechanics,
1386
00:53:47,450 --> 00:53:49,030
which is entirely from the perspectives
1387
00:53:49,030 --> 00:53:51,700
of Heisenberg, Born, Dirac,

1388
00:53:51,700 --> 00:53:54,790
rather than from the perspective of Schrödinger.

1389
00:53:54,790 --> 00:53:56,823
So, it's taken quantum
mechanics because I think
1390
00:53:56,823 --> 00:53:58,440
it's the most interesting thing.
1391
00:53:58,440 --> 00:53:59,840
I'm not the only one, but there are people
1392
00:53:59,840 --> 00:54:01,571
who think different, who think, no, no, no, no,

1393
00:54:01,571 --> 00:54:03,090
quantum mechanics is all
about the Schrödinger equation
1394
00:54:03,090 - -> 00:54:06,400
and the wave function
evolving, that's all there is.
1395
00:54:06,400 --> 00:54:07,440
I think that's wrong.
1396
00:54:07,440 --> 00:54:10,880
My books are a way of
defending a perspective,
1397
00:54:10,880 --> 00:54:12,600
but the two things help one another
1398
00:54:12,600 --> 00:54:14,373
because in the moment in which you try

1399
00:54:14,373 --> 00:54:18,560
to explain something simple, you get clarity yourself.

1400
00:54:18,560 --> 00:54:21,020
I mean, for me, it's
an exercise of science.
1401
00:54:21,020 --> 00:54:23,560
I feel I'm doing science
when I'm doing that
1402
00:54:23,560 --> 00:54:25,130 and clarifying my mind,

1403
00:54:25,130 --> 00:54:26,960 and reading of the great masters,

1404
00:54:26,960 --> 00:54:29,680 who were infinitely better than me, I mean, reading Galileo,

1405
00:54:29,680 --> 00:54:31,500
when he wrote these books,
1406
00:54:31,500 --> 00:54:33,980
it seems to me he's doing exactly the same thing.

1407
00:54:33,980 --> 00:54:36,030
I mean, he's talking to people.
1408
00:54:36,030 --> 00:54:40,270
That's a book written
for the cultivated person
1409
00:54:40,270 --> 00:54:43,120
of the European Renaissance
in the 17th Century,

1410
00:54:43,120 --> 00:54:45,580
not for his colleagues, astronomers,
1411
00:54:45,580 --> 00:54:48,240
because it explain things one by one,
1412
00:54:48,240 --> 00:54:51,330
but obviously it's debating with his colleagues,

1413
00:54:51,330 --> 00:54:52,600
astronomers, in the book.
1414
00:54:52,600 --> 00:54:55,610
It's making the subtle points of the argumentation,

1415
00:54:55,610 --> 00:54:57,293
proving him right and them wrong,
1416
00:54:57,293 --> 00:55:00,010
and that's what makes that book so great.
1417
00:55:00,010 --> 00:55:01,500
Now, of course, I'm not Galileo,
1418
00:55:01,500 --> 00:55:04,450
and I'm not writing the dialogue
of the two great systems,
1419
00:55:04,450 --> 00:55:06,440
but it's this kind of popular science,
1420
00:55:06,440 --> 00:55:07,890
which other people are doing,
1421
00:55:07,890 --> 00:55:11,960

```
which is presenting ideas in
a way which are comprehensible
1422
00:55:11,960 --> 00:55:14,800
to defend these ideas,
which I'm trying to do.
1423
00:55:14,800 --> 00:55:16,980
- Are you working on any books now?
1424
00:55:16,980 --> 00:55:19,769
- Yeah, I am, but I'm not
sure I should talk about that.
1425
00:55:19,769 --> 00:55:21,770
- (laughs) Are you always
working on one book or another?
1426
00:55:21,770 --> 00:55:24,030
- No, no, I have not been writing
1427
00:55:25,010 --> 00:55:26,750
for one year, or more.
1428
00:55:26,750 --> 00:55:28,280
I just stopped completely.
1429
00:55:28,280 --> 00:55:30,030
I am under strong pressure, of course,
1430
00:55:30,030 --> 00:55:33,050
from publishers and
things for writing more.
1431
00:55:33,050 --> 00:55:34,260
Let me just give you this,
1432
00:55:34,260 --> 00:55:36,430
I'm not covering a large
```

```
portion of physics,
1433
00:55:36,430 --> 00:55:37,950
I want to do the narration,
1434
00:55:37,950 --> 00:55:41,410
tell the story of how a
theoretical physicist like me
1435
00:55:41,410 --> 00:55:43,050
gets into a specific problem,
1436
00:55:43,050 --> 00:55:44,570
gets fascinated by the problem,
1 4 3 7
00:55:44,570 --> 00:55:47,140
works through and comes
out with some ideas,
1438
00:55:47,140 --> 00:55:48,840
and is struggling on.
1439
00:55:48,840 --> 00:55:51,400
So, whether this particular thing
1440
00:55:51,400 --> 00:55:53,010
is right or wrong is irrelevant.
1441
00:55:53,010 --> 00:55:57,000
I want to tell how is
theoretical science in the doing.
1442
00:55:57,000 --> 00:55:58,620
- Sort of humanizing the process
1443
00:55:58,620 --> 00:56:00,640
or putting a-
- Yes, yes.
```

1444
00:56:00,640 --> 00:56:02,380

- Making it relatable to non-science.

1445
00:56:02,380 --> 00:56:05,130

- Yes, so what is actually going on,

1446
00:56:05,130 --> 00:56:08,220
including changing minds and realizing things didn't work,

1447
00:56:08,220 --> 00:56:10,560
and so on and so forth.
1448
00:56:10,560 --> 00:56:12,480

- I don't actually have
any further questions.
1449
00:56:12,480 --> 00:56:14,810
We've kept you for more
than an hour, I believe.
1450
00:56:14,810 --> 00:56:16,650
- Wonderful.
- So, thank you so much

1451
00:56:16,650 --> 00:56:19,110
for chatting with us.

- Thank you.

1452
00:56:19,110 --> 00:56:20,300

- I'll just ask a question

1453
00:56:20,300 --> 00:56:22,100
I always ask people when I interview them,
1454
00:56:22,100 --> 00:56:24,130
what keeps you up at night these days?
1455

```
00:56:24,130 --> 00:56:25,350
- Last night, I was awake
1456
00:56:25,350 --> 00:56:28,410
with (laughing) my going away.
1457
00:56:28,410 --> 00:56:30,120
- It was a relevant question.
- Yeah.
1458
00:56:30,120 --> 00:56:33,610
There is a beautiful
experiment in quantum gravity,
1459
00:56:33,610 --> 00:56:35,470
in fact, that's been proposed.
1460
00:56:35,470 --> 00:56:38,030
There are some people who
are questioning the way
1461
00:56:38,030 --> 00:56:40,670
to think about that, and
I think they're wrong.
1462
00:56:40,670 --> 00:56:44,590
So, I'm trying to find out a
right theoretical description
1463
00:56:44,590 --> 00:56:46,790
of this experiment, and in doing that,
1464
00:56:46,790 --> 00:56:48,910
it's fun because it's basic physics,
1465
00:56:48,910 --> 00:56:52,540
but it's the writing and
thinking I do in new ways,
1466
```

```
00:56:52,540 --> 00:56:54,810
and there are technical
issues, technical problems,
1467
00:56:54,810 --> 00:56:57,550
so I keep going around these things here.
1468
00:56:57,550 --> 00:56:59,360
So, it's not a big, huge question,
1469
00:56:59,360 --> 00:57:00,980
it's a very small, specific question.
1470
00:57:00,980 --> 00:57:03,060
- Well, we'll have to
have you back another time
1471
00:57:03,060 --> 00:57:05,460
so you can tell us, tell
us the results of that.
1472
00:57:06,300 --> 00:57:08,260
So, thank you again, this
has just been a pleasure.
1473
00:57:08,260 --> 00:57:09,580
- Thank you very much.
- Thank you.
1474
00:57:09,580 --> 00:57:10,654
- That was very nice.
1475
00:57:10,654 --> 00:57:14,590
(light electronic music)
1476
00:57:14,590 --> 00:57:17,350
- Thanks for listening to
Conversations at the Perimeter.
```

00:57:17,350 --> 00:57:20,210
If you like what you hear,
please help us spread the word.
1478
00:57:20,210 --> 00:57:23,050
Rate, review and subscribe to
Conversations at the Perimeter
1479
00:57:23,050 --> 00:57:25,100
wherever you get your podcasts.
1480
00:57:25,100 --> 00:57:27,290
Every review helps us out a lot,
1481
00:57:27,290 --> 00:57:30,040
and it helps more science
enthusiasts find us.
1482
00:57:30,040 --> 00:57:31,863
Thanks for being part of the equation.
1483
00:57:31,863 --> 00:57:35,280
(light electronic music)

```
```

